Variations in Benthic Macroinvertebrate Fauna as Indicator of Land Use in the Ken River, Central India
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Abstract: Examination of benthic macroinvertebrates in semi-natural, urban and agricultural land use along the highland Ken River in central India reveals a significantly higher density in semi-natural compared with other two landuse. Insects dominate the fauna at semi-natural (90%) and urban locations (93%) compared to agriculture sites (48%) where annelid share increases to 32%. The semi-natural location characterized by rocky substrate support high relative abundance of Caenidae and Heptageniidae. Their abundance decreases at urban locations. Brachycentridae, Chironomidae, Glossocolecidae, Nephthydae, Thiaridae and Corbiculidae increased at urban and agriculture locations characterized by small-sized sediments, suggesting important role for substrate also. Ordination shows that the Caenidae and Heptageniidae are characteristic at semi-natural location, Leptophlebiidae, Hydropsychidae, Glossosomatidae at urban while Thiaridae and Chironomidae at agricultural locations. Functionally, the collectors dominate the fauna, as all three landuse, especially large tracts of agriculture, are a continuous source of particulate organic matter (POM) in the river.
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Hindi Abstract: बैठने वाले जीव इतने तथा गर्भजन का बिन्दु के बिना आर्ग्र-प्राकृतिक, शहरी और कृषि शून्य के उपयोग से तलसे उलझे आले हैं। आवृत्तिक के अन्यता से पता चलता है कि दो अस्मृत उपयोग की तुलना में आर्ग्र-प्राकृतिक में वायुप्राकृतिक रूप से अधिक महत्त्वपूर्ण हैं। बीती की प्रगति, कृषि शून्य के उपयोग में (48%), जहां परिवहन का अनुभाग 32% तक बढ़ा है। की तुलना में आर्ग्र-प्राकृतिक (90%) और शहरी शून्य (93%) में अधिक हैं। आर्ग्र-प्राकृतिक स्थान जहां धार्मिक समुद्रतट प्रपूर्ण है, टिलजिड में और ग्राजस्थलीकरण की वृद्धि के साथ होता है। प्रेक्सिगॉर्बीड, कार्बोटाइपोरिस्टिक, ग्राजस्थलीकरण, ग्राजस्थलीकरण में वृद्धि होती है और शहरी शून्य में (जहां की विविधता बढ़ती रहती है)। पशुपति में पता चलता है, समुद्रतट की नृत्यक शून्य महत्त्वपूर्ण है, ओमिश्निड में से पता चलता है, टिलजिड और ट्रेंडेलाइड आर्ग्र-प्राकृतिक स्थान के लक्षण हैं, ग्राजस्थलीकरण, ग्राजस्थलीकरण शहरी में, जो शरारत और ग्राजस्थलीकरण कृषि शून्य में ज्ञात है, जातिक्षेत्र रूप से जीवन में क्षेत्र में कृषि शून्य कृषि शून्य उपयोग में प्रपूर्ण हैं, जो नृत्य में कार्बोटाइपोरिस्टिक फार्मा (POM) के साथ होता है, विविध रूप से कृषि.
INTRODUCTION

Land use affects the distribution of benthic macroinvertebrate fauna along the river continuum and are hence useful indicators of this stress (Richards et al. 1993; Roth et al. 1996; Hershey & Lamberti 1998; Allan 2004). This knowledge pertains to temperate streams. Such an impact has been scarcely investigated in tropical India (Singh & Nautiyal 1990; Subramanian et al. 2005; Nautiyal & Mishra 2011). This study examines the distribution of riverine macroinvertebrate fauna with respect to differential land use in Bundelkhand region (central India) where the ambitious Ken-Betwa River link is proposed for efficient water use. The excess water from the Ken basin will be diverted to the Betwa (NWDA 2006). The construction of impounding, diverting and linking structures will alter the present channel morphology, flow regimes and the existing landuse. The present study will serve as reference to the impacts of the ‘river links’ on benthic macroinvertebrate communities and hence the river ecosystem. The present study examines: (a) density, richness and composition of benthic macroinvertebrate fauna in different land use and (b) how the current land use practices in the river Ken affect longitudinal variations in the richness and composition of this community.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The Chambal, Betwa, Ken, Tons and Son are the major right bank tributaries of the Yamuna and Ganga. They rise in central India and flow northwards across the Bundelkhand Plateau (central highland eco-region) into the Gangetic Plains. The Ken arises from the north-west slopes of the Kaimur Hills (Vindhyan ranges) in the Jabalpur District of Madhya Pradesh. It flows ca. 427km from 550m to 86m (NWDA 2006), a gradient of < 1km\textsuperscript{-1}. Semi-natural conditions prevail from the source to Panna (S1, Fig. 1), where forested landscape exists along a large part of the river including the Panna National Park, and only a small segment is under agriculture land use. Human settlements are small (villages), except the urbanised location at Banda. Agriculture is the major land use along both banks of the remaining river (Images 1a–c). The semi-natural, urban and agriculture land use selected for the study at Panna (S1), Banda (S2) and Chilla (S3), respectively fall in the upper, middle and lower stretches of the river (Fig. 1). The maximum depth of the river was 7m at S1, 0.6m at S2, and 7.5m at S3.
However, samples were taken at 0.6m at all stations. Stony substrate occurred at S1 and S2, while silt-clay-sand at S3 (Table 1). The semi-natural, agriculture and discharge of municipal sewage from the Banda City landuse are the sources of particulate organic matter (POM) in the river.

In the Bundelkhand Plateau, the dry-period extends for nine months (October–June) and wet period for three months during monsoon (July–September) (Unni 1996; Vombatkere 2005). As faunal composition remains relatively stable in the dry period than during floods (Ormerod et al. 1994; Jüttner et al. 2003), one-time intensive sampling (20 quadrants per station) was made during a part of the dry-period considered suitable for studies such as the present one (Corkum 1989, 1991). The benthic macroinvertebrates were sampled from December to March because certain stretches of the river dry-up from March to June, and disrupt the continuum. The monsoon floods replenish the nutrients and POM needed to sustain the essential food chains in the ecosystem.

Sampling procedures at each station involved lifting stones (boulder, cobble, pebble, gravel) sieving clay and silt from 0.09m$^2$ area in different flows (turbulent, swift, slow, placid), cleaning the substrate to obtain the macroinvertebrate fauna and preserving in 5% formalin for further analysis. Since the right bank was inaccessible at S1 due to cliff like terrain, only the left bank was sampled at this location. Both the banks were sampled at S2 and S3. Broad taxonomic classifications (family level) are acceptable to develop the empirical relationships involving benthic invertebrates in a large study area (Corkum 1989). Therefore, the taxa were identified up to the family level by using standard literature Edmondson (1959), Edington & Hildrew (1995), and Nesemann et al. (2004).

Counts were made for each of the 20 quadrants to obtain total (mean, median) density (indiv. m$^{-2}$), relative abundance (as %) and the faunal composition at each location. The significant differences in total density was determined among different land use patterns as well.
as between two successive patterns of landuse at family level through Kruskal-Wallis (H), and Mann -Whitney (U) test (PAST software <http://nhm2.uio.no/norlex/past>). The impact of land use on functional state of the river was determined using the functional feeding groups (Cotta-Ramusino et al. 1995; Cummins et al. 2005). The significant and non-significant association between a particular land use was determined by chi-square test. Principal component analysis (PCA) helps to determine the associated taxa at each location (Braak & Smilauer 2002). PCA was computed from the counts of invertebrate fauna from each quadrant.

### RESULTS

The physiographic conditions of the river, the altitude, gradient, water current velocity and the combination of substrate differ slightly at each location (Table 1). The water temperature increases gradually and the current velocity decreases, as the river flows from high to low elevation from S1 to S3 in the alluvial Gangetic Plains.

The benthic fauna belongs to three phyla—arthropods, molluscs and annelids. The arthropods are represented only by classes Insecta, the molluscs by classes Gastropoda and Pelecypoda, while the annelids by classes Oligochaeta and Polychaeta. However, the insects constitute as high as 93% of the total fauna at S2 to a low of 48% at S3, others accounting for the remaining share at each location (Fig. 2). The faunal richness varies at S1 (15), S2 (14) and S3 (12), representing semi-natural, urban and agriculture land use (Table 1). While the richness decreases from S1 to S3, the mean total density of benthic fauna decreases considerably from S1 to S2 but increases at S3. The increase and decrease in their share corresponds with that of the total density.

There is a notable similarity in the fauna among the semi-natural and urban land use compared with the agricultural land use (Tables 2 & 3). Thus, most of the taxa occur at all locations, but some taxa present at S1 and S2 are absent at S3, viz., Neoephemeridae, Leptophlebiidae and Hydropsychidae.

Moreover, Glossocolecidae and Nephthydae (polychaete worms) present at S1 are absent at S2 but reappear at S3. However, the qualitative similarity does not conform with quantitative data because the density of the most abundant taxon between two different patterns of landuse varies significantly from S1 to S3 (Table 2). Few taxa showed gradual increase or decrease (Chironomidae, Corbiculidae,  

### Table 2. Benthic macroinvertebrate community: Total density (mean, SE) in different land uses in Ken River. Density is calculated from 20 quadrants data for each land use. Mann–Whitney tests (U-test) determines significant difference in mean densities (indiv. m$^{-2}$) in the families present for pair of land use in the Ken River. (df = degree of freedom).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>S1 (mean, SE)</th>
<th>S2 (mean, SE)</th>
<th>S3 (mean, SE)</th>
<th>Final p-value 'H'-test</th>
<th>Final p-value 'U'-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptophlebiidae</td>
<td>8.25(2.23)</td>
<td>47.85(17.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01048</td>
<td>0.3557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caenidae</td>
<td>105.1(14.46)</td>
<td>0.55(0.55)</td>
<td>1.1(0.75)</td>
<td>3.258e-09</td>
<td>1.183e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoephemeridae</td>
<td>75.35(9.41)</td>
<td>2.2(1.28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.586e-09</td>
<td>2.024e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetidae</td>
<td>11(6.86)</td>
<td>20.35(5.60)</td>
<td>0.55(0.55)</td>
<td>0.00716</td>
<td>0.01219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>1.1(0.75)</td>
<td>22.55(7.29)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001579</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>8.25(1.93)</td>
<td>13.2(4.11)</td>
<td>39.05(11.63)</td>
<td>0.1889</td>
<td>0.6749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptidae</td>
<td>12.1(4.13)</td>
<td>2.2(1.28)</td>
<td>25.85(7.15)</td>
<td>0.008473</td>
<td>0.03094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphidae</td>
<td>6.63(4.42)</td>
<td>17.05(6.6)</td>
<td>25.85(5.82)</td>
<td>0.000982</td>
<td>0.1613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossocolecidae</td>
<td>6.64(5.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.35(2.90)</td>
<td>0.04986</td>
<td>0.1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephthydae</td>
<td>6.05(4.33)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.14(9.03)</td>
<td>5.063e-06</td>
<td>1.211e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiaridae</td>
<td>9.93(8.1)</td>
<td>4.4(1.87)</td>
<td>64.34(13.92)</td>
<td>5.803e-05</td>
<td>0.4152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corbiculidae</td>
<td>5.52(0.3)</td>
<td>7.15(2.15)</td>
<td>14.3(7.65)</td>
<td>0.7798</td>
<td>0.6051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mean density ±SE (indiv. m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>284(29.01)</td>
<td>158.4(22.48)</td>
<td>248.6(27.51)</td>
<td>0.001177</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (Minimum-Maximum)</td>
<td>99-605</td>
<td>44-451</td>
<td>33-506</td>
<td>0.001177</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronyms: CH - Chironomidae; CN - Caenidae; HY - Hydropsychidae; LP - Leptophlebiidae; NE - Neoephemeridae; NP - Nephthydae; TH - Thiaridae.
Gomphidae). Except for Helidae, other taxa either declined (Caenidae, Neoephemeridae) or increased abruptly (Leptophlebiidae, Thiaridae, Hydropsychidae, Nephthydae) (Fig. 3). The benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages varied; Caenidae - Neoephemeridae at S1; Leptophlebiidae - Hydropsychidae - Glossosomatidae at S2 and Thiaridae - Chironomidae - Nephthydae at S3 (Table 2, Plate I).

Associated taxa from each pattern of land use in the Ken River

The cumulative percentage variance of species data for PCA axes 1 and 2 is 51.8% and 83.7%, respectively; the eigen values are 0.518 and 0.318 (Canonical eigen value 1.000). Ordination analysis (PCA) indicates characteristic taxa for each land use; Caenidae-Heptageniidae in the semi-natural conditions at S1, Leptophlebiidae-Hydropsychidae-Glossosomatidae for urban land use at S2 and Thiaridae (gastropod)-Chironomidae for agriculture land use at S3 (Fig. 4). This observation supports the assemblage pattern for each land use (Table 1). Functionally, collector community prevails all along the river from S1 to S3 (Table 3). These relationships are expected to be similar throughout the dry season also and are hence, applicable to a large part of the year except the monsoon.

Table 3 Benthic macroinvertebrate fauna with respect to their Functional Feeding Groups (FFG) for different land uses in the Ken River. Families have been grouped on the basis of their functional role in the ecosystem into FFG, viz., scraper, gathering collectors, filtering collectors, predators. Each family is expressed as number of individuals in the total count from 20 quadrants at each location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Feeding Family/groups</th>
<th>Number of individuals of each family (FFG) at each station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caenidae</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoephemeridae</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptophlebiidae</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetidae</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heleidae</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligochaeta (Glossoscolecidae)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gathering Collectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachycentridae</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaeta (Nepthhydae)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelecypoda (Corbiculidae)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering Collectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossosomatidae</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiaridae</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scraper</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyacophilidae</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabanidae</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dytiscidae</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphidae</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrionidae</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predators</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous groups</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Individuals</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Percentage composition of higher taxonomic groups (An - Annelida; Ar - Arthropoda; M - Mollusca) at stations S1 to S3, in the Ken River.
Figure 3. Few taxon indicates gradual increase or decrease along the length of the river with respect to land use.

Figure 4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA): The ordination indicates the characteristic taxa through graphical presentation between the taxon (arrows) and station as well as each land use (filled square) in the river Ken. The taxa close to the station are characteristic of that station and encircled.

Acronyms: AG - Agrionida; BT - Baetidae; BR - Brachycentridae; CH - Chironomidae; CN - Caenidae; COR - Corbiculidae; DY - Dytiscidae; GM - Gomphidae; GL - Glossosomatidae; GLO - Glossocoleidae; HE - Heleidae; HP - Heptageniidae; HL - Hydroptilidae; HY - Hydropsychidae; LP - Leptophlebiidae; NE - Neophephemeridae; NP - Nepthydae; PR - Perlidae; RY - Rhyacophilidae; SA - Salifidae; TB - Tabanidae; TH – Thiaridae’
DISCUSSION

Differences in the patterns of land use along the river course elicit different responses from the benthic macroinvertebrate communities (Walsh et al. 2001; Wilson et al. 2007) that are unknown for the rivers of Bundelkhand region (central India). Three different patterns of land use occur along the Ken River in Bundelkhand Plateau;

1) ‘semi-natural’ in the upper part of the river, 
2) ‘urban’ in the middle stretch of the River Ken and
3) ‘extensive agriculture’ and small habitations in the lower stretch.

Examination of macroinvertebrate communities in these land uses along the course of the Ken shows a slightly higher density in the semi-natural land use at S1 compared to moderate density in agriculture land use (S3) and least in urban landuse at S2. However, the faunal richness declines marginally from semi-natural (15 taxa) to urban land use (14 taxa), but declines notably in agricultural land use (12 taxa) indicating the impact of the landuse. The invertebrate density is known to be higher in agricultural streams than forest streams (Lenat 1984; Harding & Winterbourn 1995; Mishra & Nautiyal 2011) and least in the urban land use streams (Hilsenhoff 1988; Novak & Bode 1992; Paul & Meyer 2001; Walsh et al. 2001; Stepenuck et al. 2002; Wang & Kanehl 2003; Fleituch 2003; Mishra & Nautiyal 2011, 2013; Nautiyal & Mishra 2012) as observed in the present study also. Longitudinally, benthic macroinvertebrate density usually increases from headwater to mouth (Nautiyal 1997; Kownacki et al. 2000; Younes–Barailla et al. 2005; Milesi et al. 2009), but has been observed to decrease in the plateau rivers of Bundelkhand region (Mishra & Nautiyal 2012; Nautiyal & Mishra 2012). Richness increases in mountain rivers (Singh et al. 1994) and decreases in plateau rivers (Mishra & Nautiyal 2011, 2012; Nautiyal & Mishra 2012). Least density in the middle stretch (S2) in respect of the upper (S1) and lower (S3) stretch shows that the urban land use causes abrupt decrease in density and disrupts the longitudinal pattern of gentle decrease. In contrast, the richness was scarcely affected in the Ken. This indicates that urban land use at S2 does not completely shadow the natural gradients in the Ken.

Notably higher densities of Neopothemidae and Caenidae at S1 decline at downstream locations. These taxa prefer boulder-rock substrate (Aagaard et al. 2004; Mishra & Nautiyal 2011), and are hence present and abundant only at S1. Since both function as collectors, their abundance at S1 in semi-natural land use suggests heterotrophic state due to fine particulate organic matter (FPOM) from agriculture (left bank) and coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM) from forest (right bank) at S1. High densities of Leptophlebiidae and Hydropsychidae and moderate density of Baetidae occur at S2 only as they prefer smaller particle size viz. cobble-pebble and stony gravel habitat (Czachorowski 1989; Nautiyal & Mishra 2012). Further, their function as gathering collectors in the urban land use is justified due to sewage derived particulate organic matter (POM) at S2. The abundance of Hydropsychidae is associated with organic pollution (Barbosa et al. 2001; Mayenco & Ruiz 2007) and stable water flow (Georgian & Thorp 1992). Subramanian et al. (2005) also observed high abundance of Hydropsychidae (Hydropsyche and Macronema), Baetidae (Baetis) and Leptophlebiidae (Isca and Choroterpes) in the human modified riparian land use types of Western Ghat streams.

The station S3 lies in the mouth zone of the river where the substrate particle size reduces to silt-clay. Therefore, Thiaridae a scraper, Chironomidae a gathering collector and Nephthydae a filtering collector that prefer soft sediments are abundant at S3. The collectors are dominant from S1 to S3. However, as compared with upstream locations, the number of scrapers increased notably at S3 due to an increased abundance of Thiaridae (Table 3). The nutrients from agriculture proliferates growth of macrophytes on which the scrapers Thiaridae (Mesogastropoda) and Gomphiidae (Odonata) anchor and feed. The FPOM from the agriculture land use serves as food for gathering and filtering collectors as also observed by Miserendino (2001) and Kerans et al. (2005) in plateau and temperate rivers, respectively. The abundance of gathering and filtering collectors in agricultural land use has been observed in the Paisuni also (Mishra & Nautiyal 2011). Thus, the composition of benthic macroinvertebrate fauna, assemblages and functional feeding groups vary in these different land use patterns (Table 2). The ordination technique also reflects change in the characteristic taxa due to land use.

This suggests that natural variability (substrate type and its heterogeneity vis-à-vis continuum) and differences in POM; (land use as source of detritus) govern the taxa richness, density, current faunal composition and characteristic taxa at respective locations. These community features are influenced by the modified riparian land use types as also observed from many temperate streams (Fontaine et al. 1990; Hershey & Lamberti 1998; Buffagni & Gomba 1996). The abundance of collectors all along the rivers indicates heterotrophic state due to allochthonous food resource
primarily from agriculture sources in the Ken river (lower order river > 3rd order; <http://creekconnections. allegheny.edu/Modules/On-line Activities/Topographic Maps/Stream Order.pd>f). This is in contrast to the river continuum concept (Vannote et al. 1980) where lower order streams, similar to those considered in this study, should present a relatively high density of shredders, of about 30% of proportional abundance. However, no shredders were found in this study. This finding should be interpreted considering the framework in which this concept was developed. In its original postulation the concept considered a river system with headwater streams (order 1–3) flowing through forested regions with headwaters heavily shaded and abundant leaf litter input from the riparian forest leading to a relatively high density of shredders. On the contrary, the headwater of the Ken flows through the agriculture land use; one side agriculture and other side forest (Image. 1a). In this stream the input of organic matter from agriculture is noticeably less significant than in forested streams, accounting for the absence of shredders. Moreover, as the studied stream is not canopied, and should have more autochthonous production similar to the production expected for middle order streams in forested river systems, according to the river continuum concept. But the heterotrophic condition prevails because the existing land use masks natural gradients varyingly due to high inputs of POM from human impacted land use resulting in the abundance of collectors (gathering collectors). Bennett (1998) also observed an abundance of collectors in the agriculture dominated stream. However, in the present study the share of collectors seem to be low because of cumulative increase of nutrients in the lower reach from agriculture land use and result in gradual increase of scrapers.

It is safe to say that the land use affects the function (predominance of collectors), while the continuum due to substrate governs the structure, and helps to distinguish the impacted and reference localities. Each land use has characteristic taxa. Dudgeon (1999) argues that in tropical Asia it is difficult to distinguish changes due to human impact from changes resulting from natural variability at various spatial and temporal scales. However, in our opinion if human activity is intense the impact will be visible in tropical conditions also. The changes in faunal composition show disruption of the river continuum due to anthropogenic stress and agricultural practices. Besides, it is important to distinguish the changes due to impact of land use from those due to natural landscape and associated factors like the physico-chemistry of water and the substrate conditions (Ross & Wallace 1982; Greenwood & McIntosh 2004; Diaz et al 2008; Mishra & Nautiyal 2011, 2012; Nautiyal & Mishra 2012).

CONCLUSION

The longitudinal gradients in the physico-chemistry of water and substrate account for changes in density, richness and faunal composition. These changes reflect disruption of the river continuum due to human modified riparian land use. The functional role of the community modifies under the influence of surrounding land use. The River Ken is functionally heterotrophic all along its length, as predicted for the natural stream of RCC (River Continuum Concept) up to 1st–3rd order stream, primarily applicable to nearactic streams where collectors and shredders dominate functionally. The river lacks shredders due to a lack of forest canopy and prevalence of agriculture.

REFERENCES

Idrobiologia

Idrobiologia


