

Building evidence for conservation globally

10.11609/jott.2024.16.8.25639-25790

[www.threatenedtaxa.org](http://www.threatenedtaxa.org)

# Journal of Threatened TAXA

26 August 2024 (Online & Print)

16(8): 25639-25790

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online)

ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)



Open Access





43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5<sup>th</sup> Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India  
Registered Office: 3A2 Varadarajulu Nagar, FCI Road, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India  
Ph: +91 9385339863 | [www.threatenedtaxa.org](http://www.threatenedtaxa.org)  
Email: sanjay@threatenedtaxa.org

**EDITORS****Founder & Chief Editor****Dr. Sanjay Molur**Wildlife Information Liaison Development (WILD) Society & Zoo Outreach Organization (ZOO),  
43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5<sup>th</sup> Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India**Deputy Chief Editor****Dr. Neelesh Dahanukar**

Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Managing Editor****Mr. B. Ravichandran**, WILD/ZOO, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India**Associate Editors****Dr. Mandar Paingankar**, Government Science College Gadchiroli, Maharashtra 442605, India**Dr. Ulrike Streicher**, Wildlife Veterinarian, Eugene, Oregon, USA**Ms. Priyanka Iyer**, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India**Dr. B.A. Daniel**, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India**Editorial Board****Dr. Russel Mittermeier**

Executive Vice Chair, Conservation International, Arlington, Virginia 22202, USA

**Prof. Mewa Singh Ph.D., FASc, FNA, FNAsc, FNAPsy**

Ramanna Fellow and Life-Long Distinguished Professor, Biopsychology Laboratory, and Institute of Excellence, University of Mysore, Mysuru, Karnataka 570006, India; Honorary Professor, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore; and Adjunct Professor, National Institute of Advanced Studies, Bangalore

**Stephen D. Nash**

Scientific Illustrator, Conservation International, Dept. of Anatomical Sciences, Health Sciences Center, T-8, Room 045, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8081, USA

**Dr. Fred Pluthero**

Toronto, Canada

**Dr. Priya Davidar**

Sigur Nature Trust, Chadapatti, Mavinahalli PO, Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu 643223, India

**Dr. Martin Fisher**

Senior Associate Professor, Battcock Centre for Experimental Astrophysics, Cavendish Laboratory, JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

**Dr. John Fellowes**

Honorary Assistant Professor, The Kadoorie Institute, 8/F, T.T. Tsui Building, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

**Prof. Dr. Mirco Solé**

Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Vice-coordenador do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zoologia, Rodovia Ilhéus/Itabuna, Km 16 (45662-000) Salobrinho, Ilhéus - Bahia - Brasil

**Dr. Rajeev Raghavan**

Professor of Taxonomy, Kerala University of Fisheries &amp; Ocean Studies, Kochi, Kerala, India

**English Editors****Mrs. Mira Bhojwani**, Pune, India**Dr. Fred Pluthero**, Toronto, Canada**Mr. P. Ilangovan**, Chennai, India**Ms. Sindhura Stothra Bhashyam**, Hyderabad, India**Web Development****Mrs. Latha G. Ravikumar**, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, India**Typesetting****Mrs. Radhika**, ZOO, Coimbatore, India**Mrs. Geetha**, ZOO, Coimbatore India**Fundraising/Communications****Mrs. Payal B. Molur**, Coimbatore, India**Subject Editors 2020–2022****Fungi****Dr. B. Shivaraju**, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India**Dr. R.K. Verma**, Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur, India**Dr. Vatsavaya S. Raju**, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh, India**Dr. M. Krishnappa**, Jnana Sahyadri, Kuvenpu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India**Dr. K.R. Sridhar**, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri, Mangalore, Karnataka, India**Dr. Gunjan Biswas**, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, West Bengal, India**Dr. Kiran Ramchandra Ranadive**, Annasaheb Magar Mahavidyalaya, Maharashtra, India**Plants****Dr. G.P. Sinha**, Botanical Survey of India, Allahabad, India**Dr. N.P. Balakrishnan**, Ret. Joint Director, BSI, Coimbatore, India**Dr. Shonil Bhagwat**, Open University and University of Oxford, UK**Prof. D.J. Bhat**, Retd. Professor, Goa University, Goa, India**Dr. Ferdinand Boero**, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy**Dr. Dale R. Calder**, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Ontario, Canada**Dr. Cleofas Cervancia**, Univ. of Philippines Los Baños College Laguna, Philippines**Dr. F.B. Vincent Florens**, University of Mauritius, Mauritius**Dr. Merlin Franco**, Curtin University, Malaysia**Dr. V. Irudayaraj**, St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai, Tamil Nadu, India**Dr. B.S. Kholia**, Botanical Survey of India, Gangtok, Sikkim, India**Dr. Pankaj Kumar**, Department of Plant and Soil Science, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA**Dr. V. Sampath Kumar**, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, West Bengal, India**Dr. A.J. Solomon Raju**, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India**Dr. Vijayasankar Raman**, University of Mississippi, USA**Dr. B. Ravi Prasad Rao**, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, India**Dr. K. Ravikumar**, FRLHT, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India**Dr. Aparna Watve**, Pune, Maharashtra, India**Dr. Qiang Liu**, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Yunnan, China**Dr. Noor Azhar Mohamed Shazili**, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia**Dr. M.K. Vasudeva Rao**, Shiv Ranjan Housing Society, Pune, Maharashtra, India**Prof. A.J. Solomon Raju**, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India**Dr. Mander Datar**, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, Maharashtra, India**Dr. M.K. Janarthanam**, Goa University, Goa, India**Dr. K. Karthigeyan**, Botanical Survey of India, India**Dr. Errol Vela**, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France**Dr. P. Lakshminarasiham**, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, India**Dr. Larry R. Noblick**, Montgomery Botanical Center, Miami, USA**Dr. K. Haridasan**, Pallavur, Palakkad District, Kerala, India**Dr. Analinda Manila-Fajard**, University of the Philippines Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines**Dr. P.A. Siru**, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod, Kerala, India**Dr. Afroz Alam**, Banasthali Vidyapith (accredited A grade by NAAC), Rajasthan, India**Dr. K.P. Rajesh**, Zamorin's Guruvayurappan College, GA College PO, Kozhikode, Kerala, India**Dr. David E. Boufford**, Harvard University Herbaria, Cambridge, MA 02138-2020, USA**Dr. Ritesh Kumar Choudhary**, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, Maharashtra, India**Dr. A.G. Pandurangan**, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India**Dr. Navendu Page**, Wildlife Institute of India, Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India**Dr. Kannan C.S. Warrier**, Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Tamil Nadu, India**Invertebrates****Dr. R.K. Avasthi**, Rohtak University, Haryana, India**Dr. D.B. Bastawade**, Maharashtra, India**Dr. Partha Pratim Bhattacharjee**, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, India**Dr. Kailash Chandra**, Zoological Survey of India, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India**Dr. Ansie Dippenaar-Schoeman**, University of Pretoria, Queenswood, South Africa**Dr. Rory Dow**, National Museum of natural History Naturalis, The Netherlands**Dr. Brian Fisher**, California Academy of Sciences, USA**Dr. Richard Gallon**, Ilandudno, North Wales, LL30 1UP**Dr. Hemant V. Ghate**, Modern College, Pune, India**Dr. M. Monwar Hossain**, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, BangladeshFor Focus, Scope, Aims, and Policies, visit [https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/aims\\_scope](https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/aims_scope)For Article Submission Guidelines, visit <https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/submissions>For Policies against Scientific Misconduct, visit [https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/policies\\_various](https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/policies_various)

continued on the back inside cover

Cover: Watercolour illustrations—Striped Tiger *Danaus genutia*, Common Silverline *Cigaritis vulcanus*, Tamil Lacewing *Cethosia mahratta*. © Mayur Nandikar.



## A short-term impact of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> [eCO<sub>2</sub>] on select growth performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its host plant *Gossypium barbadense* L. (Malvaceae)

A.A. Abu ElEla Shahenda<sup>1</sup>  & Wael M. ElSayed<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt 12613.

<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8572, Japan.

<sup>1</sup> shosho\_ali76@yahoo.com (corresponding author), <sup>2</sup> wael\_elsayed88@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** Natural interactions between herbivorous insect pests and their host plants are expected to be altered significantly as atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (aCO<sub>2</sub>) continue to rise according to climate change scenario. The possible effect of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) environments on these interactions is under attention. To better understand such effects on select insect growth parameters; early (3<sup>rd</sup>) and penultimate (6<sup>th</sup>) instar larvae of the Cotton Leaf Worm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), reared on the cotton plant leaves *Gossypium barbadense* L. (Malvaceae) grown under either ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub> = 350 ppm) or enriched (eCO<sub>2</sub> = 700 ppm) atmospheres were investigated.

**Keywords:** Ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere, growth parameters.

**Japanese:** 気候変動シナリオに従って大気中の二酸化炭素濃度 (aCO<sub>2</sub>) が上昇するにつれて、草食性害虫と被食植物の間の自然な相互作用は大きく変化すると予測されます。高濃度のCO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) 環境がこれらの相互作用に及ぼす影響が注目されています。そのような効果が昆虫の成長に与える影響を調べるために、鱗翅目ヤガ科の *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) の初期幼虫 (3齢) と終齶幼虫 (6齢) を、通常の二酸化炭素濃度 (aCO<sub>2</sub>=350ppm) 条件下と高濃度の二酸化炭素濃度 (eCO<sub>2</sub>=700ppm) で栽培した綿植物 *Gossypium barbadense* L. (アオイ科) で飼育して、成長を比較しました。

**Editor:** A.J. Solomon Raju, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India.

**Date of publication:** 26 August 2024 (online & print)

**Citation:** A.A.A.E. Shahenda & W.M. ElSayed (2024). A short-term impact of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> [eCO<sub>2</sub>] on select growth performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its host plant *Gossypium barbadense* L. (Malvaceae). *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 16(8): 25758-25764. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.9171.16.8.25758-25764>

**Copyright:** © Shahenda & ElSayed 2024. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

**Funding:** Self-funded.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Author details:** DR. WAEL M. ELSAYED is an associate professor of Ecology and the director of the Ecology, Biodiversity and Pollution Laboratory, College of Science, Cairo University since 2017. The broad research interest is ecology, aquatic pollution, community ecology, population dynamics and conservation of different organismal groups. Formerly, he was a visiting professor at Hokkaido University, Japan and worked on investigating the stress of changing environment on biodiversity across different localities in Japan and Egypt. Also, His research interests lie in the ecology of Acrididae and Carabidae. DR. SHAHENDA ABU EL-ELA is an adjunct associate professor of Ecology and expertise in environmental pollution, global climate change, forestry ecology, atmospheric pollution, and anthropogenic disturbances. Her research is focusing on studying the impact of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> and enriched Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) on plant-insect interactions and monitoring the changes in insect biodiversity under different climatic conditions. Also, her research interests lie in the ecology of some Orthopteran species in Kanazawa and Sendai, Japan especially the lesser known Tettigidae.

**Author contributions:** All the authors contributed in the field study; AA Shahenda conceived and designed the study; AA conducted the measurements and collected the data; AA Shahenda and ElSayed M. Wael wrote the manuscript draft; ElSayed Wael conducted statistical analysis, reviewed and edited the manuscript drafts; all authors approved the final draft and contributed significantly.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors are highly grateful to Prof. Dr. Michio Kondoh (Department of Ecological Developmental Adaptability Life Sciences, Tohoku University) and Prof. Dr. Masatoshi Hori (Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University) for their hospitality and kindness in providing facilities to write and complete the draft of the manuscript. The authors are thankful to Dr. Hiromi Uno (associate professor, Watershed Ecology Lab, Aobayama Campus, Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Japan) for her time and efforts in revising the Japanese abstract.

## INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing combustion of fossil fuels, such as energy generation in coal-fired power plants, cement plants, oil refineries, and land-use changes has contributed towards the increase of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (aCO<sub>2</sub>) (Houghton et al. 1992; Leakey et al. 2009; Goufo et al. 2014). The aCO<sub>2</sub> level has gone from the pre-industrial value of 280 ppm to a current level of 418 ppm (December 2022) where a high aCO<sub>2</sub> concentration is a big risk to human health, for example, it can trigger respiratory illnesses when the aCO<sub>2</sub> concentration is over 600 ppm (NOAA 2022; Åhlén et al. 2023).

The rate of change of aCO<sub>2</sub> levels has accelerated with models predicting that by the middle of this century; the aCO<sub>2</sub> levels will escalate to 550 ml L<sup>-1</sup> and expected to rise to about 800 ml L<sup>-1</sup> by the end of this century (Long & Ort 2010; Feng & Cheng 2014).

Increasing amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere affects the global climate temperature, which can have an adverse effect on all life forms on this planet (Bazzaz 1990; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018; Ashok et al. 2022). Thus, numerous studies have focused on understanding the CO<sub>2</sub> effects on various aspects of plant growth, productivity, and survival in crops. Only a few studies have dealt with the nutritional quality of food crops and even fewer studies on the health-promoting phytochemicals in food crops (Rajashekhar 2018).

Little is known about the effects of the eCO<sub>2</sub> environments, which are anticipated to exist in the next century, on natural plant-insect herbivore interactions. Because of the crucial role of CO<sub>2</sub> in photosynthesis, the expected increase in global aCO<sub>2</sub> levels will exert a significant influence on the biological systems.

Climate change has a marked impact on the biology and population ecology of insect pests with direct impact on the physiology and behavior of the insect (Berrigan 2000). Insect life cycle is influenced by climate, this includes total duration of life span, fecundity, mortality, and genetic adaptation (Helmuth et al. 2002; Hoffmann et al. 2003; Stillman 2003; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018). Moreover, the indirect effects could be observed through the impact on host plant, parasitoids, and predators of insect pests (Manimanjari & Rao 2022). In addition to this, eCO<sub>2</sub> will affect the quality of foliage and in turn influence the potential herbivorous behavior of the insect pest.

Often, plant species grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> environments have a higher foliar water content than those grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (Wong 1979; Fajer et al. 1991;

Lincoln et al. 1993), potentially enhancing insect herbivore performance (Scriber 1977, 1979). However, plant species reared in eCO<sub>2</sub> environments also showed comparatively reduced nitrogen concentrations in leaves (Wong 1979; Williams et al. 1981; Fajer et al. 1991; Lincoln et al. 1993).

Studies dealing with lepidopteran larvae have revealed that insect herbivores consistently respond to changes in plant foliar quality induced by eCO<sub>2</sub> environments by consuming more foliage (Osbrink et al. 1987; Johnson & Lincoln 1990; Fajer et al. 1991, Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018). It is assumed that insects consume more foliage to compensate for reduced foliar nitrogen concentrations (Slansky & Feeny 1977). Some species showed a lower rate of larval development or even incomplete development of larvae; decreased fecundity, and increased mortality (Osbrink et al. 1987; Fajer 1989; Fajer et al. 1991).

In Egypt, one of the most important fiber crops is the cotton, *Gossypium barbadense* L. (Malvaceae), and because of its economic importance; the phytophagous insect *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larva is considered as one of the major and injurious noctuid predator of cotton in Egypt (Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018).

Laboratory feeding studies and growth chamber experiments have provided useful information about the responses of insect herbivores to specific foliage or plant species grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (Caulfield & Bunce 1994; Abu ElEla & El Sayed 2018; Rao et al. 2012; Manimanjari & Rao 2022).

Indeed, there is a shortage of knowledge and the information pertaining to the possible influence of eCO<sub>2</sub> regime on natural interactions between the noctuid pest, *S. littoralis* (Boisd.), and its host plants especially those of economic importance (such as *G. barbadense* L.) are fragmentary. Thus, the aim of this research work is to focus some light on some select biological and life cycle parameters of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) fed with cotton plant leaves, *G. barbadense* L. var. Super Giza 85, grown under both aCO<sub>2</sub> (350 ppm) & eCO<sub>2</sub> (700 ppm).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Laboratory of Applied Entomology, Cairo University, Egypt. Cotton seeds of *Gossypium barbadense* L. (var. Super Giza 85) were obtained from Seeds Bank at the Ministry of Agriculture, Cairo, Egypt. Five seeds/4-liter soil were germinated in plastic pots containing sand & clay through summer

season from May to September and were irrigated regularly by tap water. These pots were placed inside designated cuboid open top chambers (80 l x 80 w x 80 h cm). Natural lighting, ranging from 600  $\mu\text{mol-2s}^{-1}$  to 2,000  $\mu\text{mol-2s}^{-1}$ , was primarily used. Although white fluorescent Philips® lamps, automatically programmed were used to maintain a 12D: 12L light regime, added additional light at 1,000  $\mu\text{mol-2s}^{-1}$  were used when light levels fell below 600  $\mu\text{mol-2s}^{-1}$  (Carlson & Bazzaz 1980). These chambers containing the *G. barbadense* L. var. Super Giza saplings were exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub> (350 ppm) and eCO<sub>2</sub> (700ppm) regimes. Plants were grown for 30 days before offering the foliage as a diet for the experimental insect.

#### Leaf nutritional quality

Leaf nutritional quality was measured at 30 days and 45 days by chemical analyses of contents for total nitrogen, total carbohydrates, and phenolic compounds. Chemical analysis was done for total amino acids according to the method described by Russell (1944), total carbohydrates according to Streeter & Jeffers (1979), and total phenolic compounds according to the method by Jindal & Singh (1975).

#### Growth conditions of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.)

Eggs were obtained from the females of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) reared from standard laboratory colony maintained at the Department of Entomology, Cairo University since 2000s. Two groups of 50 eggs were placed in plastic petridishes (Ø 14 cm) with moist paper towel strips taped to the lid to maintain suitable air humidity. One group of hatched larvae was offered cotton plant leaves grown in aCO<sub>2</sub> regime while the other group was fed on leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> regime.

Hatched larvae were kept in growth chambers with a 14 h day: 10 h night light regime and 25°C day: 20°C night temperature regime. Fresh leaves were provided every other day. Each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment had 20 replicates of 10 larvae per petridish. Larvae were reared & fed from the 1<sup>st</sup> instar till last instar and ceased feeding as they reached prepupal stage.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> (hereafter considered as the early instar) and the 6<sup>th</sup> (considered as the penultimate instar) (Image 1) were used as main larval instars in this experiment due to their potential herbivory (ElEla & ElSayed 2018). Usually, *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) shows six larval instars, however, we treated the 3<sup>rd</sup> larval instar as an early instar since the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> instars showed less herbivory attitude to cotton leaves (personal observations) and only nibbling of soft leaves could be observed. Also, the

6<sup>th</sup> instar showed strong voracious tendency to consume leaves until reaching the prepupal stage which showed unambiguous cessation of feeding.

The number and average fresh weights of newly formed prepupae were recorded. The prepupae were then placed in sieved, sterilized, and moistened wood dust to proceed in forming the pupal cases. Percentage pupation and mean pupal duration were recorded. By the emergence of adults, number of emerged adults, longevity, and sex ratio were recorded. The freshly emerged adults were fed on 10% sugary solution (sucrose solution) and were offered small fresh twigs bearing leaves of *Nerium oleander* L. to serve as ovipositional sites for adult females.

#### Statistical analysis

The effects of CO<sub>2</sub> regimes on the select larval parameters were evaluated by one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The differences between the mean values of treatments were determined by Duncan's multiple range test. Treatment means were compared and separated using least significant difference (LSD) at  $p < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses were computed by using SPSS version 16.0.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data depicted in Table 1 revealed insignificant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between mean larval duration for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> larval instars fed cotton plant leaves grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> regimes. In general, the larval duration for either 3<sup>rd</sup> (early) and 6<sup>th</sup> (penultimate) larval instars were slightly greater for those fed cotton leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> regime. However, significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the percentage larval survival was observed between the two regimes (Table 1). The percentage larval survival was greater for larvae fed aCO<sub>2</sub> grown cotton leaves compared to those fed enriched-grown leaves (Table 1). It was clear that relatively greater number of larvae fed aCO<sub>2</sub> could successfully complete the larval duration when compared to those fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves. Also, it seemed that larval mortality could be related to the nutritional deficiency that resulted from reduced foliar nitrogen levels in eCO<sub>2</sub> grown plants (Brooks & Whittaker 1999; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018).

Although we found that penultimate *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) larvae consumed additional eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves (based on personal observations), they showed relatively slower growth rate with longer larval duration (Table 1). Moreover, these penultimate larvae produce

**Table 1. Select parameters of larval stages of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) fed the leaves of *Gossypium barbadense* L. grown in ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub> = 350 ppm) and enriched (eCO<sub>2</sub> = 700 ppm) CO<sub>2</sub> regimes.**  
 \* Results followed by different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ )

Insect parameters	CO <sub>2</sub> treatment	
	aCO <sub>2</sub> (350 ppm)	eCO <sub>2</sub> (700 ppm)
<b>Larval stage</b>		
Larval duration (day)		
3 <sup>rd</sup> instar (early)	3.6 ± 0.116 <sup>a*</sup>	3.83 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>
6 <sup>th</sup> instar (penultimate)	4.5 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 ± 0.253 <sup>a</sup>
Percentage total larval survival (%)	90.83 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	83 ± 2.27 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Prepupal stage</b>		
Prepupal fresh weight (g.)	2.27 ± 0.158 <sup>a</sup>	1.27 ± 0.014 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Pupal stage</b>		
Percentage pupation (%)	90.53 ± 1.486 <sup>a</sup>	84.66 ± 4.83 <sup>a</sup>
Pupal fresh weight (g)	2.583 ± 0.036 <sup>a</sup>	1.87 ± 0.027 <sup>b</sup>
Pupal duration (day)	11.33 ± 0.026 <sup>a</sup>	7.66 ± 0.068 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Adult stage</b>		
Percentage adult emergence (%)	96.27 ± 2.21 <sup>a</sup>	82.24 ± 3.98 <sup>b</sup>
Sex ratio		
Male (%)	56.33 ± 3.82 <sup>a</sup>	58.33 ± 3.21 <sup>a</sup>
Female (%)	46.67 ± 4.38 <sup>a</sup>	44.33 ± 3.82 <sup>a</sup>

pupae with relatively lighter fresh weights compared to those produced from the penultimate instars fed aCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves (Table 1). This could be attributed to their inability to fully compensate for the diet which is relatively poor in nitrogen as they were forced to metabolize food at higher flow rates, such as when they consumed additional eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves, they could not effectively process enough food for the compensation of relatively lower nitrogen concentrations (Rogers et al. 1994; Davis & Potter 1989; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018). However, it is far from precise to generalize this finding among other insect pest species since Hughes & Bazzaz (1997) reported no effect on populations of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) fed with *Asclepias syriaca* L. (Asclepiadaceae) grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

Prolonged larval duration, such as those induced by the eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown diets in this study, may prevent larvae from completing development in climatically limited environments with short growing seasons (Davis & Potter 1989; Watt et al. 1995). Reduced growth rates and increased larval duration of the larvae fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves may also increase their exposure to predators & parasitoids (Carlson & Bazzaz 1980; Davis & Potter 1989; Ottman et al. 2001).

As penultimate larvae reached the prepupal stage, the interruption of feeding was noticeable (Montezano et al. 2019). The prepupae started to build the pupal case which made it possible to observe metamorphosis and determine the end of the prepupal period which was relatively short duration (1–2 days) in both the CO<sub>2</sub>

regime.

The recorded prepupal fresh weight showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> regimes (Table 1). Pre-pupae resulted from penultimate larvae fed cotton leaves grown in aCO<sub>2</sub> regime were remarkably heavier than those resulted from larvae fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves (Table 1).

Although the mean percentage pupation was found to be lower for larvae fed cotton leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> regime (84.66 ± 4.83) rather than from aCO<sub>2</sub> regime (90.53 ± 1.486), insignificant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) was observed between the two regimes (Table 1). However, the mean pupal duration showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) for pupae resulting from larvae fed on cotton leaves grown in aCO<sub>2</sub> regime (Table 1) where pupae of ambient condition showed relatively prolonged duration compared to pupae of enriched condition.

Moreover, significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) was observed in the mean pupal fresh weight between the two CO<sub>2</sub> regimes where pupae resulted from larvae fed grown cotton leaves grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> regime showed greater fresh weight (2.583 ± 0.036) compared to those fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves (Table 1). Robinson et al. (2012) stated that growth rates when consuming high CO<sub>2</sub> foliage are reduced, and duration increased, resulting in lower prepupal, pupal, and adult weights.

Adults emerged from larvae reared on ambient grown cotton leaves showed a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) greater mean percentage emergence compared to those fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves (Table 1). The mortality rate for adults resulted from larvae fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves was much greater (ca. 15%) compared to adults emerged from larvae fed aCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves (Table 1).

More males emerged, but the CO<sub>2</sub> regimes did not influence the sex ratio as insignificant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) was observed between the CO<sub>2</sub> regimes for both sexes (Table 1).

Measures of plant quality during the present study are thus of importance in understanding natural interactions between herbivorous insect and its host plant species under eCO<sub>2</sub> environment since insect feeding and growth is a function of both the variation since diverse plant species respond differently to atmospheric eCO<sub>2</sub> alterations in foliage quality composition (Rao et al. 2012; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018; Manimanjari & Rao 2022). Increase in aCO<sub>2</sub> levels can cause increases in plant growth rates, and changes in the physical and chemical composition of the plant tissues (Stockle 1992; Sudderth 2005; Abu ElEla & ElSayed 2018).

Treatment of cotton plants with eCO<sub>2</sub> regime caused a significant alteration in plant quality in term of

chemical characteristics (Table 2). It was observed that nitrogen content was drastically reduced in cotton leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> concentration to show 50% less nitrogen contents ( $0.281 \pm 0.029 \text{ mg g}^{-1}\text{d.wt}$ ) compared to nitrogen contents in leaves grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> regime (Table 2). In accordance with this finding, other studies have also showed that many plant species grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> condition showed a reduction in leaf nitrogen content (Stitt & Krapp 1999; Rao et al. 2012; Manimanjari & Rao 2022).

Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in total foliar carbohydrates was observed between the two CO<sub>2</sub> regimes (Table 2). In the present study, eCO<sub>2</sub> typically increases the concentration of foliar total carbohydrates ( $42.82 \pm 0.381 \text{ mg g}^{-1}\text{d.wt}$ ) which showed 15% greater concentration than total carbohydrates concentration in foliar grown in aCO<sub>2</sub> (Table 2).

Results shown in Table 2 showed that the total concentration of phenolic contents were higher under eCO<sub>2</sub>. A significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the total phenolic contents was observed between the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (Table 2) where the total phenolic contents in cotton leaves increased by more than double its value under eCO<sub>2</sub> compared to the contents of phenolics in aCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves. This improvement in plant secondary metabolites could be attributed to increased

**Table 2.** Select nutritional quality of cotton plant leaves of *Gossypium barbadense* L. grown in ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub> = 350 ppm) and enriched (eCO<sub>2</sub> = 700 ppm) CO<sub>2</sub> regimes.

Leaf nutritional quality(mg g <sup>-1</sup> d.wt)	CO <sub>2</sub> Treatment	
	aCO <sub>2</sub> (350 ppm)	eCO <sub>2</sub> (700 ppm)
Total carbohydrates	$36.49 \pm 0.19^a$	$42.82 \pm 0.381^b$
Total nitrogen	$0.565 \pm 0.046^a$	$0.281 \pm 0.029^b$
Phenolic compounds	$0.645 \pm 0.012^a$	$1.524 \pm 0.016^b$

\* Results followed by different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC) as suggested by Ibrahim & Jafaar (2012). Phenolics are considered as one of the most important groups of secondary metabolites and bioactive compounds in plant species (Kim et al. 2005) and increased levels of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations can influence the levels of total phenolics (Fine et al. 2006).

The eCO<sub>2</sub> exhibited a significant impact on cotton plant by altering the biochemical constituents of the foliage such as reduced nitrogen content, increased phenolics, increased carbon, C:N, and total carbohydrates. It was clear that eCO<sub>2</sub> amplified decreases in foliar total nitrogen, causing substantial increases in foliar C:N ratio (Zvereva & Kozlov, 2006) which in turn affect the growth & development of the phytophagous early & penultimate



**Image 1.** Larvae of *Sodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) feeding on cotton plant leaves *Gossypium barbadense* L.: a—3rd larval instar (early) | b—6th larval instar (penultimate) | c—cotton leaves | d—faeces of the larvae. © Wael M. ElSayed.

instars, and subsequent stages of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.).

Consuming more eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown leaves is an unambiguous indicator that eCO<sub>2</sub> reduces insect growth rates by altering the chemical and physical properties of foliage. In our study, cotton leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub> regime possessed relatively high total carbohydrates and low nitrogen content (Table 2). Consequently, increasing C:N which causes apparent increase in the consumption of relatively low-quality food to meet critical nutrient limitations which is referred to as "compensatory feeding" and may portend greater herbivore damage to both managed & natural ecosystems as CO<sub>2</sub> continues to increase (Cornelissen 2011).

## CONCLUSION

It was clear that the phytophagous pests respond in an immediate fashion to the leaves grown in eCO<sub>2</sub>. Larvae fed aCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves showed shorter duration, comparatively heaviest prepupae & pupae, and greatest percentage of adult emergence. On the other hand, larvae fed eCO<sub>2</sub>-grown cotton leaves showed relatively longer duration and lighter prepupae & pupae with smaller percentage adult emergence. Consequently, the population dynamics of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) and the nutritional quality of the host plant, *G. barbadense* L., could be influenced by the future increase of aCO<sub>2</sub> levels.

## REFERENCES

Abu ElEla, S.A. & W.M. ElSayed (2018). Impact of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> fumigation effects on plant-insect interaction: feeding behaviour and growth of early and late instar larvae of the cotton leaf worm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Far Eastern Entomologist* (351): 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.25221/fee.351.2>

Åhlén, M., O. Cheung & C. Xu (2023). Low-concentration CO<sub>2</sub> capture using metal-organic frameworks – Current status and future perspectives. *Dalton Transactions* 52(7): 1841–1856. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2DT04088C>.

Ashok, K., V. Balasubramani, J.S. Kennedy, V. Geethalakshmi & N. Sathiah (2022). Impact of elevated carbon dioxide on the bionomics of maize fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*: an age-stage, two-sex life table approach. *International Journal of Pest Management* 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2022.2027550>

Bazzaz, F.A. (1990). The response of natural ecosystems to the rising global carbon dioxide levels. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 21: 167–196. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.001123>

Brooks, G.L. & J.B. Whittaker (1999). Responses of three generations of a xylem-feeding insect, *Neophilaenus lineatus* (Homoptera), to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology* 5(4): 395–401. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00239.x>

Berrigan, D. (2000). Correlations between measures of thermal stress resistance within and between species. *Oikos* 89: 301–304. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.890211.x>

Carlson, R.W. & F.A. Bazzaz (1980). The effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth, photosynthesis, transpiration and water efficiency of plants. In: Singh, J.J. & A. Deepak (eds.). *Environmental and Climatic Impact of Coal Utilization*. New York, 655 pp.

Caulfield, F. & J.A. Bunce (1994). Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration affects interactions between *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae and two host plant species outdoors. *Environmental Entomology* 23(4): 999–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/23.4.999>

Cornelissen, T. (2011). Climate change and its effects on terrestrial insects and herbivory patterns. *Neotropical Entomology* 40(2): 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-566x2011000200001>

Davis, T.D. & J.R. Potter (1989). Relations between carbohydrate, water status and adventitious root formation in leafy pea cuttings rooted under various levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and relative humidity. *Physiologia Plantarum* 77(2): 185–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1989.tb04967.x>

Fajer, E.D. (1989). The effects of enriched carbon dioxide atmospheres on plant-insect herbivore interactions: growth responses of larvae of the specialist butterfly, *Junonia coenia* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). *Oecologia* (Berlin) 81: 514–520. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00378962>

Fajer, E.D., M.D. Bowers & F.A. Bazzaz (1991). The effects of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres on the buckeye butterfly *Junonia coenia*. *Ecology* 72: 751–754. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937217>

Feng, G.Q., Y. Li & Z.M. Cheng (2014). Plant molecular and genomic responses to stresses in projected future CO<sub>2</sub> environment. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 33: 238–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.870421>

Fine, P.V.A., Z.J. Miller, I. Mesones, S. Irazuza, H.M. Appel & M.H.H. Stevens (2006). The growth defense tradeoff and habitat specialization by plants in Amazonian forest. *Ecology*, 87: 150–162. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2006\)87\[150:TGTAHS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[150:TGTAHS]2.0.CO;2)

Goufo, P., J. Pereira, J. Moutinho-Pereira, C.M. Correia, N. Figueiredo, C. Carrancac, E.A.S. Rosaa & H. Trindadea (2014). Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) phenolic compounds under elevated carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 99: 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.10.021>

Helmuth, B., C.D. Harley, P.M. Halpin, M. O'Donnell, G.E. Hofmann & C.A. Blanchette (2002). Climate change and latitudinal patterns of intertidal thermal stress. *Science* 298: 1015–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076814>

Hoffmann, A. A., J.G. Sorensen & V. Loeschke (2003). Adaptation of *Drosophila* to temperature extremes: bringing together quantitative and molecular approaches. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 28: 175–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565\(02\)00057-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565(02)00057-8)

Houghton, J.T., B.A. Callander & S.K. Varney (1992). Climate change 1992. The supplementary report to the intergovernmental panel on climate change scientific assessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04074.x>

Hughes, L. & F.A. Bazzaz (1997). Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on interactions between the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: thripidae) and the common milkweed, *Asclepias syriaca*. *Oecologia* 109: 286–290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050085>

Ibrahim, M.H. & H.Z. Jaafar (2012). Impact of elevated carbon dioxide on primary, secondary metabolites and antioxidant responses of *Eleais guineensis* Jacq. (oil palm) seedlings. *Molecules* 17(5): 5195–5211. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules17055195>

Jindal, K.K. & R.N. Singh (1975). Phenolic content in male and female *Carica papaya*: A possible physiological marker for sex identification of vegetable seedlings. *Physiologia Plantarum* 33(1): 104–107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1975.tb03774.x>

Johnson, R.H. & D.E. Lincoln (1990). Sagebrush and grasshopper responses to atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. *Oecologia* 84: 103–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00665602>

Kim, D.O., S.W. Jeon & C.Y. Lee (2005). Antioxidant capacity of phenolics phytochemicals from various cultivars of plums. *Food Chemistry* 89: 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.075>

**Leakey, A.D.B., E.A. Ainsworth, C.J. Bernacchi, A. Rogers, S.P. Long & D.R. Ort (2009).** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water six important lessons from FACE. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2859–2876. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp096>

**Lincoln, D.E., E.D. Fajer & R.H. Johnson (1993).** Plant insect herbivore interactions in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> environments. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 64–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(93\)90161-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(93)90161-H)

**Long, S.P. & D.R. Ort (2010).** More than taking the heat: crops and global change. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13: 241–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2010.04.008>

**Manimanjari, D. & M.S. Rao (2022).** Host-mediated effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the performance of *Spodoptera litura* Hub. Feeding on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Phytoparasitica* 50: 319–333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-021-00964-2>

**NOAA. (2022).** US Department of Commerce, Global Monitoring Laboratory - Carbon Cycle Greenhouse Gases, GML, [https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/gl\\_trend.html](https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/gl_trend.html).

**Osbrink, W.L.A., J.T. Trumble & R.E. Wagner (1987).** Host suitability of *Phaseolus lunatus* for *Trichoplusiani* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in controlled carbon dioxide atmospheres. *Environmental Entomology* 16: 639–644. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/16.3.639>

**Ottman, M. J., B. A., Kimball & P. J. Pinter (2001).** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases sorghum biomass under drought conditions. *New Phytologist* 150: 261–273. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00110.x>

**Rajashekhar, C. (2018).** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Levels affect phytochemicals and nutritional quality of food crops. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* 9: 150–162. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2018.92013>

**Rao, S.M., D. Manimanjari, M. Vanaja, C.A.R. Rao, K. Srinivas, V.U. Rao & B. Venkateswarlu (2012).** Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* on peanut, *Arachis hypogaea*. *Journal of Insect Science* 12: 103. <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.012.10301>

**Robinson, E.A., G.D. Ryan & J.A. Newman (2012).** A meta-analytical review of the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plant-arthropod interactions highlights the importance of interacting environmental and biological variables. *New Phytologist* 194: 321–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04074.x>

**Rogers, H.H., G.B. Runion & S.V. Krupa (1994).** Plant responses to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment with emphasis on roots and the rhizosphere. *Environmental Pollution* 83: 155–189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491\(94\)90034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(94)90034-5)

**Russell, J. A. (1944).** Note on the colorimetric determination of amino-nitrogen. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 156: 467–468.

**Slansky, F. Jr. & P. Feeny (1977).** Stabilization of the rate of nitrogen accumulation by larvae of the cabbage butterfly on wild and cultivated food plants. *Ecological Monographs* 47: 209–228. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1942617>

**Stillman, J.H. (2003).** Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate change. *Science* 301: 65–6. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1083073>

**Stitt, M. & A. Krapp (1999).** The Interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: The physiological and molecular background. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 22: 583–621. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00386.x>

**Streeter, J.G. & D.L. Jeffers (1979).** Distribution of total nonstructural carbohydrates in soybean plants having increased reproductive load. *Crop Science* 19: 729–734. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1979.0011183X001900050046x>

**Stockle, C.O., J.R. Williams, N.J. Rosenberg & C.A. Jones (1992).** A method for estimating the direct and climatic effects of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide on growth and yield of crops. Part 1. Modification of the EPIC model for climate change analysis. *Agricultural Systems* 38: 225–238.

**Sudderth, E.A., K.A. Stinson & F.A. Bazzaz (2005).** Host-specific aphid population responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and increased N availability. *Global Change Biology* 11: 1997–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01006.x>

**Watt, A.D., J.B. Whittaker, M. Docherty, G. Brooks, E. Lindsay & D.T. Salt (1995).** The impact of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on insect herbivores, pp. 197–217. In: Harrington, R. & M.E. Stork (eds.). *Insects in a Changing Environment*. Academic Press, San Diego, California.

**Williams, L.E., T.M. DeJong & D.A. Philips (1981).** Carbon and nitrogen limitations on soybean seedling development. *Plant Physiology* 68: 1206–1209. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.68.5.1206>

**Wong, S.C. (1979).** Elevated atmospheric partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> and plant growth. *Oecologia* 44: 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346400>

**Zvereva, E.L. & M.V. Kozlov (2006).** Consequences of simultaneous elevation of carbon dioxide and temperature for plant-herbivore interactions: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 12: 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01086.x>





Mr. Jatishwor Singh Irungbam, Biology Centre CAS, Branišovská, Czech Republic.  
Dr. Ian J. Kitching, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, UK  
Dr. George Mathew, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, India  
Dr. John Noyes, Natural History Museum, London, UK  
Dr. Albert G. Orr, Griffith University, Nathan, Australia  
Dr. Sameer Padhye, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium  
Dr. Nancy van der Poorten, Toronto, Canada  
Dr. Karen Schnabel, NIWA, Wellington, New Zealand  
Dr. R.M. Sharma, (Retd.) Scientist, Zoological Survey of India, Pune, India  
Dr. Manju Siliwal, WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. G.P. Sinha, Botanical Survey of India, Allahabad, India  
Dr. K.A. Subramanian, Zoological Survey of India, New Alipore, Kolkata, India  
Dr. P.M. Sureshan, Zoological Survey of India, Kozhikode, Kerala, India  
Dr. R. Varatharajan, Manipur University, Imphal, Manipur, India  
Dr. Eduard Vives, Museu de Ciències Naturals de Barcelona, Terrassa, Spain  
Dr. James Young, Hong Kong Lepidopterists' Society, Hong Kong  
Dr. R. Sundararaj, Institute of Wood Science & Technology, Bengaluru, India  
Dr. M. Nithyanandan, Environmental Department, La Al Kuwait Real Estate. Co. K.S.C., Kuwait  
Dr. Himender Bharti, Punjabi University, Punjab, India  
Mr. Purnendu Roy, London, UK  
Dr. Saito Motoki, The Butterfly Society of Japan, Tokyo, Japan  
Dr. Sanjay Sondhi, TITLI TRUST, Kalpavriksh, Dehradun, India  
Dr. Nguyen Thi Phuong Lien, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam  
Dr. Nitin Kulkarni, Tropical Research Institute, Jabalpur, India  
Dr. Robin Wen Jiang Ngiam, National Parks Board, Singapore  
Dr. Lional Monod, Natural History Museum of Geneva, Genève, Switzerland.  
Dr. Asheesh Shivam, Nehru Gram Bharti University, Allahabad, India  
Dr. Rosana Moreira da Rocha, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brasil  
Dr. Kurt R. Arnold, North Dakota State University, Saxony, Germany  
Dr. James M. Carpenter, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA  
Dr. David M. Claborn, Missouri State University, Springfield, USA  
Dr. Karen Schnabel, Marine Biologist, Wellington, New Zealand  
Dr. Amazonas Chagas Júnior, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Brasil  
Mr. Monsoon Jyoti Gogoi, Assam University, Silchar, Assam, India  
Dr. Heo Chong Chin, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Selangor, Malaysia  
Dr. R.J. Shiel, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia  
Dr. Siddharth Kulkarni, The George Washington University, Washington, USA  
Dr. Priyadarshan Dharma Rajan, ATREE, Bengaluru, India  
Dr. Phil Alderslade, CSIRO Marine And Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Australia  
Dr. John E.N. Veron, Coral Reef Research, Townsville, Australia  
Dr. Daniel Whitmore, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Rosenstein, Germany.  
Dr. Yu-Feng Hsu, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei City, Taiwan  
Dr. Keith V. Wolfe, Antioch, California, USA  
Dr. Siddharth Kulkarni, The Hormiga Lab, The George Washington University, Washington, D.C., USA  
Dr. Tomas Ditrich, Faculty of Education, University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic  
Dr. Mihaly Foldvari, Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway  
Dr. V.P. Uniyal, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248001, India  
Dr. John T.D. Caleb, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, West Bengal, India  
Dr. Priyadarshan Dharma Rajan, Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment (ATREE), Royal Enclave, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

#### Fishes

Dr. Neelesh Dahanukar, IISER, Pune, Maharashtra, India  
Dr. Topiltzin Contreras MacBeath, Universidad Autónoma del estado de Morelos, México  
Dr. Heok Hee Ng, National University of Singapore, Science Drive, Singapore  
Dr. Rajeev Raghavan, St. Albert's College, Kochi, Kerala, India  
Dr. Robert D. Sluka, Chiltern Gateway Project, A Rocha UK, Southall, Middlesex, UK  
Dr. E. Vivekanandan, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Chennai, India  
Dr. Davor Zanella, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia  
Dr. A. Biju Kumar, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India  
Dr. Akhilesh K.V., ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Mumbai Research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India  
Dr. J.A. Johnson, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India  
Dr. R. Ravinesh, Gujarat Institute of Desert Ecology, Gujarat, India

#### Amphibians

Dr. Sushil K. Dutta, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India  
Dr. Annemarie Ohler, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France

#### Reptiles

Dr. Gernot Vogel, Heidelberg, Germany  
Dr. Raju Vyas, Vadodara, Gujarat, India  
Dr. Pritpal S. Soorae, Environment Agency, Abu Dubai, UAE.  
Prof. Dr. Wayne J. Fuller, Near East University, Mersin, Turkey  
Prof. Chandrashekher U. Rironker, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa, India  
Dr. S.R. Ganesh, Chennai Snake Park, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Himansu Sekhar Das, Terrestrial & Marine Biodiversity, Abu Dhabi, UAE

**Journal of Threatened Taxa** is indexed/abstracted in Bibliography of Systematic Mycology, Biological Abstracts, BIOSIS Previews, CAB Abstracts, EBSCO, Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, Index Fungorum, JournalSeek, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, NewJour, OCLC WorldCat, SCOPUS, Stanford University Libraries, Virtual Library of Biology, Zoological Records.

NAAS rating (India) 5.64

#### Birds

Dr. Hem Sagar Baral, Charles Sturt University, NSW Australia  
Mr. H. Biju, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Chris Bowden, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Sandy, UK  
Dr. Priya Davidar, Pondicherry University, Kalapet, Puducherry, India  
Dr. J.W. Duckworth, IUCN SSC, Bath, UK  
Dr. Rajah Jayopal, SACON, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Rajiv S. Kalsi, M.L.N. College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana, India  
Dr. V. Santharam, Rishi Valley Education Centre, Chittoor Dt., Andhra Pradesh, India  
Dr. S. Balachandran, Bombay Natural History Society, Mumbai, India  
Mr. J. Praveen, Bengaluru, India  
Dr. C. Srinivasulu, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India  
Dr. K.S. Gopi Sundar, International Crane Foundation, Baraboo, USA  
Dr. Gombobaatar Sundev, Professor of Ornithology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia  
Prof. Reuven Yosef, International Birding & Research Centre, Eilat, Israel  
Dr. Taej Mundkur, Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands  
Dr. Carol Inskip, Bishop Auckland Co., Durham, UK  
Dr. Tim Inskip, Bishop Auckland Co., Durham, UK  
Dr. V. Gokula, National College, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Arkady Lelej, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia  
Dr. Simon Dowell, Science Director, Chester Zoo, UK  
Dr. Mário Gabriel Santiago dos Santos, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Quinta de Prados, Vila Real, Portugal  
Dr. Grant Connette, Smithsonian Institution, Royal, VA, USA  
Dr. P.A. Azeez, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

#### Mammals

Dr. Giovanni Amori, CNR - Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Rome, Italy  
Dr. Anwaruddin Chowdhury, Guwahati, India  
Dr. David Mallon, Zoological Society of London, UK  
Dr. Shomita Mukherjee, SACON, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Angie Appel, Wild Cat Network, Germany  
Dr. P.O. Nameer, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India  
Dr. Ian Redmond, UNEP Convention on Migratory Species, Lansdown, UK  
Dr. Heidi S. Riddle, Riddle's Elephant and Wildlife Sanctuary, Arkansas, USA  
Dr. Karin Schwartz, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia.  
Dr. Lala A.K. Singh, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India  
Dr. Mewa Singh, Mysore University, Mysore, India  
Dr. Paul Racey, University of Exeter, Devon, UK  
Dr. Honnavalli N. Kumara, SACON, Anaikatty P.O., Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Nishith Dharaiya, HNG University, Patan, Gujarat, India  
Dr. Spartaco Gippoliti, Socio Onorario Società Italiana per la Storia della Fauna "Giuseppe Altobello", Rome, Italy  
Dr. Justus Joshua, Green Future Foundation, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. H. Raghuram, The American College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India  
Dr. Paul Bates, Harison Institute, Kent, UK  
Dr. Jim Sanderson, Small Wild Cat Conservation Foundation, Hartford, USA  
Dr. Dan Challender, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK  
Dr. David Mallon, Manchester Metropolitan University, Derbyshire, UK  
Dr. Brian L. Cypher, California State University-Stanislaus, Bakersfield, CA  
Dr. S.S. Talmale, Zoological Survey of India, Pune, Maharashtra, India  
Prof. Karan Bahadur Shah, Budhanilkantha Municipality, Kathmandu, Nepal  
Dr. Susan Cheyne, Borneo Nature Foundation International, Palangkaraya, Indonesia  
Dr. Hemanta Kafley, Wildlife Sciences, Tarleton State University, Texas, USA

#### Other Disciplines

Dr. Aniruddha Belsare, Columbia MO 65203, USA (Veterinary)  
Dr. Mandar S. Paingankar, University of Pune, Pune, Maharashtra, India (Molecular)  
Dr. Jack Tordoff, Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund, Arlington, USA (Communities)  
Dr. Ulrike Streicher, University of Oregon, Eugene, USA (Veterinary)  
Dr. Hari Balasubramanian, EcoAdvisors, Nova Scotia, Canada (Communities)  
Dr. Rayanna Helleni Santos Bezerra, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, Brazil  
Dr. Jamie R. Wood, Landcare Research, Canterbury, New Zealand  
Dr. Wendy Collinson-Jonker, Endangered Wildlife Trust, Gauteng, South Africa  
Dr. Rajeshkumar G. Jani, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India  
Dr. O.N. Tiwari, Senior Scientist, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India  
Dr. L.D. Singla, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, India  
Dr. Rupika S. Rajakaruna, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka  
Dr. Bharat Baviskar, Wild-CER, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440013, India

#### Reviewers 2021–2023

Due to paucity of space, the list of reviewers for 2021–2023 is available online.

The opinions expressed by the authors do not reflect the views of the Journal of Threatened Taxa, Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society, Zoo Outreach Organization, or any of the partners. The journal, the publisher, the host, and the partners are not responsible for the accuracy of the political boundaries shown in the maps by the authors.

Print copies of the Journal are available at cost. Write to:  
The Managing Editor, JoTT,  
c/o Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society,  
43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5<sup>th</sup> Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore,  
Tamil Nadu 641006, India  
ravi@threatenedtaxa.org

## Articles

### The past and current distribution of the lesser-known Indian endemic Madras Hedgehog *Paraechinus nudiventris* (Mammalia: Eulipotyphla: Erinaceidae)

– R. Brawn Kumar & Willam T. Bean, Pp. 25639–25650

### Declining trends of over-summering shorebird populations along the southeastern coasts of Tamil Nadu, India

– H. Byju, H. Maitreyi, N. Raveendran & S. Ravichandran, Pp. 25651–25662

### Seasonal changes in waterbird assemblages in Chambal River at Mukundra Hills National Park, Rajasthan, India

– Arun George, Megha Sharma, Kavin Duraisamy, P.C. Sreelekha Suresh, Bijo Joy, Govindan Veeraswami Gopi, S.A. Hussain & J.A. Johnson, Pp. 25663–25674

### An updated checklist of the skippers (Lepidoptera: Hesperiidae) of Bhutan

– Karma Wangdi, Piet van der Poel & K.C. Sajan, Pp. 25675–25688

### Conservation imperatives for swallowtail butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae): a case study in the north bank landscape of river Brahmaputra, Bodoland Territorial Region, India

– Kushal Choudhury, Pp. 25689–25699

### The present state of leech fauna (Annelida: Hirudinea) in Dal Lake, Jammu & Kashmir, India

– Niyaz Ali Khan, Zahoor Ahmad Mir & Yahya Bakhtiyar, Pp. 25700–25711

### First report of five monogonont rotifers from Jammu, J&K UT, India, with remarks on their distribution

– Nidhi Sharma, Sarjeet Kour & Aayushi Dogra, Pp. 25712–25719

### Diversity of vascular epiphytes on preferred shade trees in tea gardens of sub-Himalayan tracts in West Bengal, India

– Roshni Chowdhury & M. Chowdhury, Pp. 25720–25729

## Communications

### Identification and chemical composition analysis of salt licks used by Sumatran Elephants *Elephas maximus sumatranus* in Tangkahan, Indonesia

– Kaniwa Berliani, Pindi Patana, Wahdi Azmi, Novita Sari Mastiur Manullang & Cynthia Gozali, Pp. 25730–25736

### Occurrence of a female melanistic leopard *Panthera pardus delacouri* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) in Ulu Sat Permanent Forest Reserve, Machang, Kelantan, Peninsular Malaysia from camera traps reconnaissance survey 2023

– Wan Hafizin Idzni Wan Mohammad Hizam, Muhammad Hamirul Shah Ab Razak, Hazizi Husain, Aainaa Amir & Kamarul Hambali, Pp. 25737–25741

### Diversity and distribution of large centipedes (Chilopoda: Scolopendromorpha) in Nui Chua National Park, Vietnam

– Son X. Le, Thinh T. Do, Thuc H. Nguyen & Binh T.T. Tran, Pp. 25742–25747

### Diversity of butterfly habitats in and around Udanti-Sitanadi Tiger Reserve, Chhattisgarh, India

– H.N. Tandan, Gulshan Kumar Sahu, Kavita Das, Gulab Chand, Ravi Naidu & Ramanand Agrawal, Pp. 25748–25757

### A short-term impact of enriched CO<sub>2</sub> [eCO<sub>2</sub>] on select growth performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its host plant *Gossypium barbadense* L. (Malvaceae)

– A.A. Abu ElEla Shahenda & Wael M. ElSayed, Pp. 25758–25764

### Diversity and distribution of springtails (Collembola) from Jharkhand, India

– Koushik Kumar Roy, Guru Pada Mandal & Kusumendra Kumar Suman, Pp. 25765–25773

## Short Communications

### *Lindernia tamilnadensis* (Linderniaceae) from Indo-Gangetic plains: no more endemic to the Deccan

– Umama Khan, Revan Yogesh Chaudhari, Bhupendra Singh Adhikari, Syed Ainul Hussain & Ruchi Badola, Pp. 25774–25778

### Discovery of a new *Myristica* swamp in the northern Western Ghats of India

– Pravin Desai, Vishal Sadekar & Shital Desai, Pp. 25779–25786

## Note

### *Ophioglossum jaykrishnae* S.M.Patil et al. (Pteridophyta: Polypodiophyta: Ophioglossaceae): a new distribution record from Kanha National Park, Madhya Pradesh, India

– Tarun Nayi, Mayur Bhagwat, Sanjay Saini, Soham Haldikar, Ishtayaque Patel, Shivaji Chavan, Nudrat Zawar Sayed & Sunil Kumar Singh, Pp. 25787–25790

## Publisher & Host



Threatened Taxa