Population density and group size of the Grey Junglefowl *Gallus sonneratii* in the Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra, central India

K. Narasimmarajan 1, Bidyut B. Barman 2 & Lalthan Puia 3

1,2,3 Post Box No: 18, Wildlife Institute of India, Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248001, India
Email: 1 wildlife9protect@gmail.com (corresponding author), 2 bidyutb8@gmail.com, 3 lalthanpuia24@gmail.com

Avian community studies are effective tools for monitoring forest ecosystems. Birds are widely recognized as good bioindicators of the quality of the ecosystems and the health of the environment (Gill 1994). They are responsive to change; their diversity and abundance can reflect ecological trends in other biodiversities (Furness & Greenwood 1993). Because of their highly specific habitat requirements, birds are increasingly intolerant of even slight ecosystem disturbances (Schwartz & Schwartz 1951). Work on forest bird communities has been done in other parts of the country from time to time (Ramakrishnan 1983; Sathyakumar 2006). A total of 170 circular plots were laid in order to describe and evaluate the impact of biotic disturbances and emphasis habitat preference of the GJ. Five circular plots were laid at each transect having a 20m radius at a distance of every 400m. On every plot, data on trees, shrubs, herbs, grass and leaf litter cover, human disturbance, tree lopping, wood/bamboo cutting, people seen and the presence of human trail were recorded (Johnsingh 1987; Sathyakumar 2006). A total of 170 circular plots were laid in order to estimate the impact of biotic disturbance and emphasis habitat preference of the GJ. Five circular plots were laid at each transect having a 20m radius at a distance of every 400m.
disturbance on the GJ, habitat and habitat preferences were also investigated during the survey period. The disturbances were subjectively categorized into highly disturbed (0.75–1), moderately disturbed (0.50–0.75), less disturbed (0.25–0.50) and least disturbed (0–0.25), respectively. Data of the circular plots (n=170) were pooled to estimate the Mean (±SE) of factors associated with biotic disturbances. Pooled line transect data were used to estimate the population density and group size of GJ using program DISTANCE 5.0 (Thomas et al. 2006).

Results and Discussion: Population density: A total of 36 GJ sightings comprising 114 individuals were recorded during (total effort 170 transect walks) the entire study period. An overall density of GJ 16.72±4.70 Birds/km$^2$, (n = 36) the average group density was 5.68±1.49, (n = 36) whereas the average cluster size was 2.95 birds (n = 36), P = 0.5157 (Table 1). Best fit model (Hazard/Hermite) was chosen on the basis of minimum AIC = 248.55. The encounter rate of GJ 0.39±0.2/km$^2$ was also determined from the analysis (Fig. 1). The highest number of GJ recorded in a sighting was five individuals and the least was one individual among all the sightings recorded and mean group size was 3.16 individuals. Studies conducted elsewhere on the GJ have shown different estimates of population density. Subhani et al. (2000) estimated an overall density of 7.87 birds/km$^2$ in Deva Vetala National Park and Das (2006) estimated density of 5.39 birds/ha in Rajaji National Park. The variation in density estimates in different studies could be due to the differences in methodology and habitat in the study areas besides many other factors may influence such as season, annual variations and observer differences.

Habitat preference and biotic disturbance: In the Melghat Tiger Reserve, GJs were generally observed in areas having mixed deciduous forest with Tectona grandis and Dendrocalamus strictus. Other species in this habitat included Terminalia tomentosa, Anogeissus latifolia, Butea monosperma, Emblica officinalis, Boswellia serrata, Ougeinia oojeinensis, Laegerstromia parviflora, Lantana camara and Ziziphus mauritiana (Champion & Seth 1968), which probably helped in camouflage for the GJ in the study area (Johnsingh 1987, 1994; Sathyakumar 2006). Estimated biotic disturbance indicators were Mean±SE.
Table 1. Population density and average group size of Grey Junglefowl (Gallus sonneratii) in Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra (October 2010 to March 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Point estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Percent coefficient of variation</th>
<th>95% percent confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>5.6825</td>
<td>1.4915</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>3.3834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(S)</td>
<td>2.9439</td>
<td>0.2959</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>2.4011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>16.728</td>
<td>4.7018</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>9.6423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17.000</td>
<td>4.7781</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>10.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DS - estimate average group size; E(S) - estimate expected value of cluster size; D - estimate of density of animals; N - estimate no. of animals in the specified area. Chi-square value $P = 0.5157$

Figure 1. Results of model fitted in the DISTANCE to estimate detection probability and effective strip width of Grey Junglefowl sightings in dry and mixed deciduous habitats of Melghat Tiger Reserve.

Figure 2. Estimated Mean (±SE) of biotic disturbances recorded from Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra during (October 2010 to March 2011).

of tree cutting 0.25±0.07, presence of human trails 0.16±0.02, number of trees lopped 0.05±0.08, grass/bamboo cutting 0.02±0.01 and people seen 0.01±0.02 recorded from the study area (Fig. 2).

Johnsgard (1986) reported that the GJ inhabits a wide variety of habitats, from secondary dry deciduous to moist evergreen forests, but is especially common in bamboo thickets, edges of village forests around cultivated fields and around clearings or neglected plantations. In the Periyar Tiger Reserve, GJ have been sighted frequently near human habitations but they were absent in the high hills (Zacharias 1997). The species showed a preference for areas with a mix of slopes, hilly, plains as well as the less forested areas and open grassland patches (Subramanian et al. 2008). Consequently the Melghat Tiger reserve, GJs were encountered mostly in areas having dense mixed deciduous forests where the biotic disturbances were in low intensity. They avoided human habitations and high hills with open areas.

Habitat preference is a dynamic process in the natural systems. Many species are confined to specific habitat types (Winkler & Leisler 1985). Based on our observations, we suggest that human disturbance, cattle grazing and tree/grass cutting activities were probably the major threats to the species in this area. This has probably pushed the GJ back in to the dense shrubby areas of the Tiger reserve. Further study is needed to understand the ecology and conservation threats of the species in Melghat Tiger Reserve.
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