OPEN ACCESS



All articles published in the Journal of Threatened Taxa are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use of articles in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.



Journal of Threatened Taxa

The international journal of conservation and taxonomy

www.threatenedtaxa.org ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

COMMUNICATION

NUMERICAL TAXONOMY OF *BERLINIA* SPECIES (CAESALPINIOIDEAE: LEGUMINOSAE) AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION IN NIGERIA

Emmanuel C. Chukwuma, Abiodun E. Ayodele, Michael O. Soladoye & Deborah M. Chukwuma

26 February 2016 | Vol. 8 | No. 2 | Pp. 8443–8451 10.11609/jott.1979.8.2.8443-8451



For Focus, Scope, Aims, Policies and Guidelines visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/About_JoTT.asp For Article Submission Guidelines visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/Submission_Guidelines.asp For Policies against Scientific Misconduct visit http://threatenedtaxa.org/JoTT_Policy_against_Scientific_Misconduct.asp For reprints contact <info@threatenedtaxa.org>

444111

Partner





Publisher/Host

Journal of Threatened Taxa | www.threatenedtaxa.org | 26 February 2016 | 8(2): 8443-8451

NUMERICAL TAXONOMY OF *BERLINIA* SPECIES (CAESALPINIOIDEAE: LEGUMINOSAE) AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION IN NIGERIA

Emmanuel C. Chukwuma¹, Abiodun E. Ayodele², Michael O. Soladoye³ & Deborah M. Chukwuma⁴

¹ Forest Herbarium Ibadan (FHI), Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, P.M.B 5054, Jericho Hill, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria ² Botany Department, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

³ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, Southwestern University Nigeria, Km 20 Sagamu-Benin Expressway, Okun Owa, PMB 2088, Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria

⁴ Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

¹ chukwumaemma@yahoo.com (corresponding author), ² bayodele@yahoo.com, ³ debosoladoye@gmail.com,

⁴moradekewumi@yahoo.com

Abstract: A morphometric study was performed on six *Berlinia* species of the Nigerian flora. Individual specimens deposited at Forest Herbarium Ibadan and University of Ibadan Herbarium formed the units of study. Twenty morphological characters were assessed and analyzed following conventional taxonomic practice. Results showed a close resemblance between *B. craibiana* and *B. grandiflora*, which share affinity with *B. bracteosa* and *B. confusa*, while *B. coriacea* appears to be distantly related. Species distribution studies revealed that *B. grandiflora* exists in savanna and forest regions while other members of the genus are found only in forest zones. While suggesting practical measures for conserving endangered Nigerian flora, we also recommend that further taxonomic studies be carried out on the genus *Berlinia* and its related allies to ascertain their placement within the Caesalpiniodeae.

Keywords: Berlinia, Caesalpinioideae, distribution, morphometrics, taxonomy.

French Abstract: Résumé: Une étude morphométrique a été effectuée sur six espèces de la flore *Berlinia* Nigérians. Spécimens déposés à Forest Herbier Ibadan et de l'Université d'Ibadan Herbier formées les unités d'étude. Vingt caractères morphologiques ont été évaluées et analysées conformément à la pratique conventionnelle taxonomique. Les résultats ont montré une étroite ressemblance entre *B. craibiana* et *B. grandiflora*, qui partagent des affinités avec *B. bracteosa* et *B. confusa*, tandis que *B. coriacea* semble être parent éloigné. Études de répartition des espèces ont révélé que *B. grandiflora* existe dans les régions de savane et de forêt tandis que d'autres membres du genre ne se trouvent que dans les zones forestières. Tout en suggérant des mesures concrètes pour la conservation de la flore Nigérians en voie de disparition, il est également recommandé que d'autres études taxonomiques effectuées sur le genre *Berlinia* et ses alliés connexes pour déterminer leur placement dans le Caesalpiniodea.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.11609/jott.1979.8.2.8443-8451

Editor: B. Ravi Prasad Rao, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu, India.

Date of publication: 26 February 2016 (online & print)

Manuscript details: Ms # 1979 | Received 26 April 2015 | Final received 05 February 2016 | Finally accepted 10 February 2016

Citation: Chukwuma, E.C., A.E. Ayodele, M.O. Soladoye & D.M. Chukwuma (2016). Numerical taxonomy of *Berlinia* species (Caesalpinioideae: Leguminosae) and their distribution in Nigeria. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 8(2): 8443–8451; http://dx.doi.org/10.11609/jott.1979.8.2.8443-8451

Copyright: © Chukwuma et al. 2016. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use of this article in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.

Funding: The study was carried out entirely by the authors, without external source of funding.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Details: EMMANUEL C. CHUKWUMA is a Research Scientist at Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria. ABIODUN E. AYODELE is a Professor with the University of Ibadan. MICHAEL O. SOLADOYE is also a Professor with Southwestern University Nigeria. DEBORAH M. CHUKWUMA is a Lecturer with Federal University Oye-Ekiti. All the authors are plant taxonomists, and actively engaged in taxonomic research and conservation of Nigerian flora.

Author Contribution: CEC initiated the research. CEC and DMC performed the bench work. CEC performed the data analysis. All the authors contributed to the write-up. AEA and MOS corrected the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Mackinder, B. for providing us with copies of the Monograph of *Berlinia*, and for supplying information on *B. congolensis* ever collected in Nigeria. Many thanks to the Forest Herbarium Ibadan (FHI) and University of Ibadan Herbarium (UIH) for availability of herbarium specimens.





ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)





INTRODUCTION

Berlinia is a member of the angiosperm family Leguminosae. It was first described by Hooker & Bentham in 1849 from three different collections (*Smeathmann* s.n., *Ansell* s.n. and *Heudelot* 886), which were ascribed to a single species (*B. acuminata* Sol. ex Hook.f. & Benth.). The currently accepted narrow circumscription of *Berlinia* was established by Leonard (1957) based on Harms' sections *Euberlinia* and *Macroberlinia*. The genus belongs to the tribe Detarieae *sensu lato* of Caesalpinioideae, and comprises 10 species in West Africa, with eight found in Nigeria (Hutchinson & Dalziel 1958; Keay 1989; Soladoye & Lewis 2003; Ayodele & Yang 2012).

Leaflets 2–6 pairs, usually fairly large and symmetrical at the base. Flowers are borne on stout stalk and there are 10 prominent free stamens with small anthers attached in the middle. Petals of B. bracteosa and B. occidentalis are more or less subequal in length and inflorescence is a stout terminal simple raceme while those of other West African species are very unequal in length and their inflorescence in a cluster of racemes or sparingly branched panicles (Hutchinson & Dalziel 1958; Keay 1989). Of the eight Nigerian species, B. congolensis and B. hollandii have been reported to be rare within the region (Keay 1989). Hutchinson & Dalziel (1958) and a recent monograph by Mackinder & Pennington (2011), reported only four specimens of B. congolensis in Nigeria, and these were collected from two locations (3 from Shasha Forest reserve on 07°05'N & 04°30'E and 1 from Eket on 04°39'N & 07°56'E) as far back as 1935. These areas however, have been greatly disturbed and availability of this species can no longer be guaranteed. Similarly, only two collections of B. hollandii were gathered (from Cross River state), between 1897 and 1898 by John Henry Holland who was the Curator of The Calabar Botanic Garden (Hepper & Neate, 1971); and as suggested by Mackinder & Pennington (2011), this species may have disappeared.

Mackinder (2001) noted that identification and curation of *Berlinia* collections can be problematic due to lack of consensus over genus and species limits, in some cases confounded by nomenclatural confusion which have led to conflicting taxonomic treatments in regional African floras. A poor understanding of the phylogenetic relationships within and among *Berlinia* species and its supposed allies is also a big problem. Nevertheless, a number of generic systems have been proposed for *Berlinia sensu stricto* and its presumed allies (Leonard 1965; Cowan & Polhill 1981), and this has rendered the

taxonomic position of Berlinia uncertain.

The need to classify plants based on morphological characters (e.g., of leaf, flower, fruit and seed) while assigning equal importance to such attributes as proposed by Adanson (1763) brought about the establishment of Numerical Taxonomy (Sneath & Sokal 1963). Over the last 20 years the field has developed rapidly, to the extent that we now distinguish between traditional morphometrics (Marcus 1990) and recent geometric morphometrics (Adams et al. 2004), which are not widely used in plant systematics (Jensen 2003; Henderson 2006). Plant morphometric studies (Gomez-Campo et al. 2001; Sonibare et al. 2004; El-Gazzar & Rabei 2008; Soladoye et al. 2010) have been very useful in delimiting species at generic and infra-generic levels.

This study employs a morphometric approach to the delimitation of West African *Berlinia* species occurring in Nigeria, based on external morphology of some vegetative and reproductive characters. We also report the availability of species within the region and thus present the basis for a distribution map (Fig. 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was performed between July 2013 and February 2015. The existing voucher specimens of Berlinia auriculata Benth., B. bracteosa Benth., B. confusa Hoyle, B. coriacea Keay, B. craibiana Baker f. and B. grandiflora (Vahl) Hutch. & Dalziel, deposited at the Forest Herbarium Ibadan (FHI) and University of Ibadan Herbarium (UIH) (Holmgren et al. 1990) were used for this study. Some of these are detailed in Table 1. Vouchers for B. congolensis and B. hollandii were not deposited in these herbaria by the collectors. Several efforts to locate fresh specimens were also futile due to continuous deforestation and other human activities within the reported locations of original collections. Prior to data collection, the available specimens were carefully examined and the choices of characters were determined.

Morphological studies

For each species, 20 morphological characters comprising 10 quantitative and 10 qualitative macrocharacters were assessed from the available specimens (Tables 2 and 3). All quantitative characters were measured using a thread and rule. Measurements were obtained from specimens deposited at FHI and UIH, thus individual specimens formed the units of study (Image 1). Leaflet length and width were obtained by spreading the Taxonomy and distribution of Berlinia in Nigeria



Figure 1. Distribution of Berlinia species in Nigeria.

middle leaflet on a flat surface on the laboratory bench to ensure uniformity (Olowokudejo 1999; Soladoye et al. 2010). Some characters (e.g., number of lateral nerves, number of leaflet pairs) were counted and carefully recorded while others (qualitative) were observed either with the naked eyes or hand lens where necessary. The mean and standard error for each measured character were calculated; all data were coded and thereafter subjected to statistical analysis using Minitab 17.0 and supported by IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0.

Species distributional study

This was based on existing collections deposited at the aforementioned herbaria. For each *Berlinia* species, place and date of collection were adequately recorded from all available specimens, and the derived data used to produce a distributional map for Nigeria using Arc GIS 9.3 at the Department of Geography, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Herbarium collections showed B. grandiflora to be more abundant than the other species studied. While it extends from the wet zones to the drier parts of Nigeria, others are confined to the rain forest region except for B. confusa, which was also collected from the savanna of Nassarawa State (Fig. 1). Observations from this study revealed that B. bracteosa is restricted to the rich forests of Cross River State and B. coriacea in Edo and Delta states. Nonetheless, B. auriculata spreads from Port Harcourt (Rivers State) to Enugu-Ogbeti (Enugu State) and to Calabar (Cross River State). In general, all of the Berlinia species studied except B. coriacea are found in Cross River State. This state has been known for its rich biodiversity. The occurrence of B. grandiflora in both savanna and rain forest ecosystems is also an indication that it can survive in either environment unlike other members of the genus examined. This result also agrees with the earlier work of Ayodele & Yang (2012) who

Species	Voucher No.	Collector	Locality	Date of collection
B. auriculata	FHI78659	Daramola, Macauley & Oguntayo	Oban FR, Calabar, Cross River State	9.x.1975
	FHI 56377	Daramola, B.O.	Oban FR, Calabar, Cross River State	4.ix.1965
	FHI 60332	Okafor, J.C.	Port-Harcourt-Aba Road, Abia State	12.viii.1966
	FHI 6169	Jones, A.P.D.	Aba, Portharcourt, Rivers State	9.i.1943
	FHI 63136	Emwiogbon, J.A.	Enugu-Ogbeti, Enugu State	
B. bracteosa	FHI 28153	Keay, R.W.J.	Cross River North Forest, Ikom	7.xii.1950
	FHI 55577	Daramola, B.O.	Awi-Akampa Rd, Cross River State	19.v.1965
	FHI 17340	Jones, A.P.D. & Onochie, C.F.A.	Ikom, Cross River State	2.iv.1946
	FHI 17336	Jones, A.P.D. & Onochie, C.F.A.	Ikom, Cross River State	29.v.1946
	FHI 99895	A.L. Gentry & George Pilz	Ekang, Cross River State	20.vi.1981
B. confusa	FHI 22649	Adekunle, A.O.	Usonigbe Fr, Edo State	26.v.1949
	FHI 68514	Emwiogbon & Onyeachusim	Ihiala, Anambra State	5.v.1972
	FHI 3150	Jones, A.P.D.	Owo Fr, Owo, Ondo State	14.iv.1943
	FHI 72154	Okeke & Macauley	Uboma/Orlu Rd, Imo State	24.i.1975
	FHI 33148A	Emwiogbon, J.A.	Enugu, Enugu State	24.iv.1972
B. coriacea	FHI 2728	Kenndey, J.	Sapoba FR, Edo State	viii.1931
	FHI 17031	Jones, A.P.D. & Onochie C.F.	Omo Forest Reserve, Ogun State	23.iii.1946
	FHI 60854	Emwiogbon, J.A.	Ukpe-Sabo FR, Delta State	6.iii1968
	UIH21054	Lowe, R.G.	Omo Forest Reserve, Ogun State	4.vi.1985
	UIH21046	Lowe, R.G.	Omo Forest Reserve, Ogun State	6.vi.1985
B. craibiana	FHI 58461	Emwiogbon, J.A.	Sapoba FR, Edo State	23.iv.1966
	FHI 37021	Keay, R.W.J.	Usonigbe FR, Edo State	22.v.1957
	FHI 56035	Okafor, J.C. & Latilo, M.G.	Ikot-Ekpene- Abakaliki Rd, Akwa-Ibom State	24.i.1966
	FHI 38901	Richards, P.W.	Calabar, Cross River State	11.iii.1955
	UIH15210	Lowe, J.C.	Sapoba FR, Edo State	10.i.1974
B. grandiflora	FHI 37337	Keay, R.W.J. & de Wt, H.C.D.	Olokemeji FR, Abeokuta, Ogun State	15.i.1958
	FHI 73701	Chapman, J.D.	Sardauna Province, Mambilla, Taraba State	18.xii.1972
	FHI 2747	Kennedy, J.D.	Sapoba FR, Edo State	i.1928
	UIH10199	Gledhill, D.	Ife-Ilesha Rd, Osun State	5.iv.1968
	UIH21798	Apejoye, F. & Madunagu	Onne, Rivers State	9.ii.1992

Table 1. Some of the voucher specimens of Berlinia species studied

noted that the Nigerian species of *Berlinia* are found only in the rain forest zones, except *B. grandiflora* which occurs both in the savanna and forest regions of the country. In fact, the species of *Berlinia* studied in this work can be said to be sympatric.

Results from the macro-characters assessed showed that mean leaflet length and leaflet width ranged from 10.6cm and 4.9cm in *B. confusa* to 22.7cm and 10.7cm in *B. coriacea*. This variation was also observed for lamina length and distance between nodes, which ranged from 13.5cm and 3.3cm in *B. confusa* to 28.0cm and 5.8cm in *B. coriacea*, respectively. Petiolule length was least in

B. grandiflora (0.5cm) but highest in *B. coriacea* (1.1cm) (Table 2) however.

As observed in Table 4, there is high positive correlation between leaflet length and lamina length, leaflet width and lamina length, fruit length and fruit width, lamina length and distance between nodes. Others include leaflet length and leaflet width, leaflet width and distance between nodes, leaflet length/leaflet width ratio and length of bract, amongst others. High negative correlation also exists between lamina length/ petiolule length ratio and leaflet base, distance between nodes and number of leaflet pairs, petiolule length and



Image 1. Herbarium specimens of *Berlinia* species in Nigeria. (A - B. auriculata; B - B. bracteosa; C - B. confusa; D - B. coriacea; E - B. craibiana; F - B. grandiflora)

fruit texture, leaflet width and fruit length etc. While characters with positive correlations may be more useful in distinguishing the *Berlinia* species studied, those with negative correlations when combined may not be suitable for species delimitation.

Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed that only three components contributed 92% of the total variance. Other extracted components were ignored as uninformative. Component 1 accounts for approximately 48%, while the other two constitute the remaining 44% (Table 5). These three components all have eigen values greater than 1, reflecting their reliability in the present study. Furthermore, the first component is most highly correlated with leaflet width and petiolule length. Leaflet width is a better representative, because it is less correlated with the other two components. For the second component, length of the bract is a better choice while pedicel length may be preferred for the third component (Table 6). This suggests that these three characters (leaflet width, bract length and pedicel length) could be focused on in further studies.

The cluster analysis (Table 7) shows that the distance measure between species 5 (*B. craibiana*) and species 6 (*B. grandiflora*) is the least while that between species 1 (*B. auriculata*) and species 4 (*B. coriacea*) is the highest. This result implies that the degree of affinity existing between species *B. craibiana* and *B. grandiflora* is the highest (with a very strong similarity level - 83.1601) while species *B. auriculata* and *B. coriacea* are the most distantly related taxa (similarity level - 13.3992). This is

Taxonomy and distribution of Berlinia in Nigeria

Table 2. Vegetative and reproductive macro-morphological characters of *Berlinia* species examined. All measurements in cm and presented as min (mean±standard error) max

Species	lflt lgth	lflt wdt	lflt lgth/ lflt wdt	lam lgth	ptl lgth	lam lgth/ ptl lgth	dst bt nds	frt lgth	frt wdt	pdcl lgth	no ltrl nrv	no lflt prs
B. auriculata	12.0 (15.8±1.8) 22.1	5.3 (6.9±0.9) 9.3	1.6 (2.4±0.3) 3.5	13.3 (19.2±2.5) 27.2	0.7 (0.9±0.1) 1.0	14.8 (22.7±2.8) 34.0	3.0 (5.3±0.8) 6.6	21.0 (24.8±3.8) 28.6	5.3 (6.7±1.4) 8.0	12.0 (15.5±3.5) 19.0	9 (13±1.1) 17	2 (2±0.2) 3
B. bracteosa	12.6 (19.7±2.6) 33.0	4.1 (6.7±1.1) 13.1	2.3 (3.1±0.2) 4.3	14.3 (21.5±2.6) 34.3	0.6 (0.8±0.1) 1.0	14.7 (28.9±4.4) 42.9	2.4 (4.7±1.5) 9.0	19.4 (21.0±1.5) 22.5	6.7 (7.4±0.7) 8.0	4.8 (5.2±0.4) 5.6	11 (15±1.3) 20	3 (3±0.2) 4
B. confusa	5.9 (10.6±0.7) 16.4	3.4 (4.9±0.4) 7.4	1.7 (2.2±0.1) 2.6	6.4 (13.5±1.0) 19.9	0.3 (0.8±0.2) 1.0	5.0 (22.5±2.9) 56.0	1.8 (3.3±0.2) 4.7	8.5 (22.0±2.9) 31.3	1.5 (5.0±0.7) 8.8	3.0 (3.6±0.5) 5.0	9 (11±0.5) 14	3 (4±0.2) 5
B. coriacea	14.8 (22.7±2.4) 41.1	6.2 (10.7±1.0) 16.8	2.0 (2.1±0.1) 2.7	18.0 (28.0±2.3) 42.7	0.8 (1.1±0.1) 1.5	16.3 (25.9±2.0) 38.8	2.3 (5.8±0.8) 10.2	0	0	0	8 (12±0.7) 15	2 (2±0.2) 3
B. craibiana	8.9 (15.5±0.7) 19.8	4.1 (5.9±0.2) 7.5	1.7 (2.6±0.1) 3.3	12.1 (17.5±0.7) 23.0	0.4 (0.6±0) 0.8	18.6 (31.0±1.6) 40.5	3.0 (4.2±0.2) 6.8	2.7 (20.3±5.1) 32.5	1.2 (5.6±1.3) 8.3	1.6 (3.6±0.6) 6.6	7 (10±0.4) 13	4 (4±0.1) 5
B. grandiflora	8.7 (13.0±0.9) 20.4	4.0 (5.5±0.4) 9.3	1.9 (2.4±0.1) 3.0	1.1 (15.9±1.1) 27.0	0.3 (0.5±0) 0.8	17.5 (33.7±2.0) 46.3	2.3 (3.6±0.2) 5.0	13.0 (17.8±2.6) 22.0	4.0 (5.3±0.7) 6.0	2.5 (2.4±0.4) 3.6	8 (12±0.4) 14	3 (4±0.1) 4

Key: Lflt Lgth - Leaflet Length; Lflt Wdt - Leaflet Width; Lflt Lgth/Lflt Wdt - Leaflet Length/Leaflet Width ratio; Lam Lgth - Lamin Length; Ptl Lgth - Petiolule Length; Lam Lgth/Ptl Lgth - Lamina Length/Petiolule Length ratio; Dst bt Nds - Distance between Nodes; Frt Lgth - Fruit Length; Frt Wdt - Fruit width; Pdcl Lgth - Pedicel Length; No Ltrl Nrv - No. of Lateral Nerves; No Lflt Prs - No. of Leaflet Pairs

Table 3. Some qualitative characters for the identification of Berlinia species

Species	Petal length	No. of leaflet pairs	Leaflet apex	Leaflet base	Leaflet margin	Leaflet shape	Leaflet arrangement	Fruit texture	Bract
B. auriculata	Very unequal in length	2–3	Acute to short acuminate	Acute to round	Entire	Ovate to elliptic	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Glabrous	<6mm long
B. bracteosa	More or less equal in length	3–4	Short acuminate	Acute to obtuse	Entire	Oblanceolate to narrowly elliptic	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Glabrous	>25mm long
B. confusa	Very unequal in length	3–5	Acute to acuminate	Cuneate or rounded	Entire	Elliptic	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Slightly pubescent	<6mm long
B. coriacea	Very unequal in length	2–3	Acute	Obtuse	Entire	Elliptic	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Glabrous	<6mm long
B. craibiana	Very unequal in length	4–5	Acuminate	Obtuse or cuneate	Entire	Elliptic or ovate	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Pubescent	<6mm long
B. grandiflora	Very unequal in length	3-4	Short acuminate	Obtuse to round	Entire	Ovate to elliptic	Opposite/ sub-opposite	Pubescent	<6mm long







Figure 3. Polygonal chart derived from measured characters of the studied species.

(A - B. auriculata; B - B. bracteosa; C - B. coriacea; D - B. confusa; E - B. craibiana; F - B. grandiflora)

Taxonomy and distribution of Berlinia in Nigeria

Correlation	Lflt Lgth	Lflt Wdt	Lflt Lgth/ Lflt Wdt	Lam Lgth	Ptl Lgth	Lam Lgth/ Ptl Lgth	Dst bt Nds	Frt Lgth	Frt Wdt	Pdcl Lgth	No Ltrl Nrv	No Lflt Prs	Brct Lgth	Frt Tx	Lflt Apx	Lflt Bs
Lflt Lgth	1.000	.889	.188	.975ª	.641	.021	.873	674	455	159	.451	722	.388	624	.332	174
Lflt Wdt		1.000	279	.968ª	.791	183	.883	859	760	200	.199	794	016	604	.646	.115
Lflt Lgth/Lflt Wdt			1.000	028	324	.393	037	.459	.710	.168	.598	.133	.872	076	643	574
Lam Lgth				1.000	.733	076	.902 ª	781	611	179	.364	784	.215	642	.503	045
Ptl Lgth					1.000	726	.770	540	552	.107	.281	841	.038	873	.848	.554
Lam Lgth/Ptl Lgth						1.000	285	064	.121	457	065	.499	.156	.656	686	900 ^b
Dst bt Nds							1.000	523	403	.244	.385	940 ^b	.109	737	.501	.261
Frt Lgth								1.000	.943ª	.637	.098	.401	.184	.226	564	.123
Frt Wdt									1.000	.591	.342	.327	.450	.140	650	122
Pdcl Lgth										1.000	.328	412	.014	363	.012	.587
No Ltrl Nrv											1.000	492	.806	689	.166	190
No Lflt Prs												1.000	083	.862	679	457
Brct Lgth													1.000	415	234	500
Frt Tx														1.000	679	415
Lflt Apx															1.000	.584
Lflt Bs																1.000

Table 4. Correlation coefficient of the macro-morphological characters of Berlinia species studied

^a = positivey significant values; ^b = negatively significant values

Key: Lflt Lgth - Leaflet Length; Lflt Wdt - Leaflet Width; Lflt Lgth/Lflt Wdt - Leaflet Length/Leaflet Width ratio; Lam Lgth - Lamin Length; Ptl Lgth - Petiolule Length; Lam Lgth/Ptl Lgth - Lamina Length/Petiolule Length ratio; Dst bt Nds - Distance between Nodes; Frt Lgth - Fruit Length; Frt Wdt - Fruit width; Pdcl Lgth - Pedicel Length; No Ltrl Nrv - No. of Lateral Nerves; No Lflt Prs - No. of Leaflet Pairs; Brct Lgth - Bract Length; Frt Tx - Fruit Texture; Lflt Apx - Leaflet Apex; Lflt Bs - Leaflet Base

further illustrated in Fig. 2 showing the dendrogram of the examined species. B. coriacea could be considered as the outlier, as members of the genus are divided into three main groups: B. craibiana, B. grandiflora, B. bracteosa and B. confusa as a more united group (group 1), B. auriculata as a monospecific group having some common ancestral characteristics with group 1, and B. coriacea existing as an entirely different mono-specific group with less degree of affinity with other members. The above observations reflect the statistical rule that, the less the coefficient value, the more the degree of affinity existing between any two species. Nonetheless, the extent of similarity measured by the correlation coefficient of cluster existing between B. craibiana and B. grandiflora may suggest a monophyletic origin of these species.

Furthermore, the descriptive chart presented in Fig. 3 supports the result from the PCA and dendrogram generated from analysis. This result tends to clearly separate *B. coriacea* and *B. auriculata* from other members of the genus, with uniquely different polygonal shapes while uniting *B. craibiana*, *B. grandiflora*, *B. bracteosa* and *B. confusa* with somewhat similar shapes.

We observed that leaflet shape and sizes varied

Table 5. Principal component analysis of the examined characters (Total variance explained)

Compo-	Init	ial Eigen val	lues	Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings					
nent	Total	% of V	С %	Total	% of V	С%			
1	7.625	47.658	47.658	7.625	47.658	47.658			
2	3.774	23.585	71.243	3.774	23.585	71.243			
3	3.331	20.816	92.059	3.331	20.816	92.059			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. % of V - % of Variance: C% - Cumulative %

within populations, which may be attributed to variations in light intensity affecting growth (Aborg 1943), or other environmental and genetic factors. In general, variations in the vegetative and floral organs are important diagnostic tools, which could be used in the delimitation of taxa, and the importance of these morphological features in taxonomic classification of plant species was noted by Nwachukwu (1997) and Stern (2000). Numerical taxonomy has also been reflected in parallel taximetrics and orthodox studies in *Crotalaria* (Bisby & Polhill 1973), the phenetic classification of Table 6. Component matrix of the eleven quantitative characters of *Berlinia* species studied

.

Chukwuma et al.

Table 7. Cluster analysis based on average linkage between *Berlina* species studied

	Component					
	1	2	3			
Leaflet Length	.801	.384	413			
Leaflet Width	.938*	038	319			
Leaflet Length/Leaflet Width	304	.926	116			
Lamina Length	.893	.202	376			
Petiolule Length	.942	042	.222			
Lamina Length/Petiolule Length	486	.208	769			
Distance Between Nodes	.894	.234	008			
Fruit Length	700	.331	.626			
Fruit Width	646	.609	.451			
Pedicel Length	.029	.310	.846*			
No. of Lateral Nerves	.323	.844	.128			
No. of Leaflet Pairs	909	206	251			
Bract Length	.024	.919*	133			
Fruit Texture	814	398	363			
Leaflet Apex	.807	339	.242			
Leaflet Base	.371	422	.815			

Stage Similarity level		Distance	Clusters	s joined	Now	No. of
		level	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	cluster	observations in new cluster
1	83.1601	5.4726	5	6	5	2
2	68.3388	10.2891	2	5	2	3
3	59.6305	13.1191	2	3	2	4
4	49.4879	16.4152	1	2	1	5
5	13.3992	28.1432	1	4	1	6

Table 8. Conservation status of the Berlinia species studied

Species	Assessment	Reference				
B. auriculata	Not Threatened	Roskov et al. 2016				
B. bracteosa	Not Threatened	Roskov et al. 2016				
B. confusa	Least Concern	Contu 2012				
B. coriacea	Least Concern	IUCN 2016				
B. craibiana	Near threatened	Mackinder & Pennington 2011				
B. grandiflora*	Least Concern	Mackinder & Pennington 2011; Chukwuma, E.C (pers. obs.)				

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. 3 components extracted.

Baphia species (Soladoye 1982), and delimitation of Nigerian species of *Sterculia* and *Eribroma* (Soladoye et al. 2011) to mention but a few. Thus its application in the present work has in no little way contributed to the existing taxonomic information regarding *Berlinia* species occurring in Nigeria. However, it is important to note that morphometric analysis is not sufficient to delimit taxa, even though it has greatly benefited plant systematic studies. Further studies in *Berlinia* are recommended in order to overcome challenges in the identification of species, especially in sterile or fragmentary states.

CONCLUSION

All the Nigerian *Berlinia* species studied can be said to be hygrophilous, except *B. grandiflora*, which is often found in forest-savanna boundaries. This work supports the co-existence of the *Berlinia* species examined, since they share many overlapping vegetative and floral characteristics. Some of these include: number of leaflet pairs (2(3)-5), leaf type (paripinnate), leaf margin (predominantly entire), leaflet arrangement (opposite/ sub-opposite) and fruit shape (oblong). * - This species is the most widely distributed member of the genus.

The conservation of our rich but endangered forest regions, particularly in Cross River State which is home to many indigenous plant species (regarded endemic), is also of great concern as this would ensure the availability and sustainable collection of many indigenous flora species including those of *Berlinia*.

REFERENCES

- Aborg, B. (1943). Physiologishe and okologiche studiendie pflanzcliche pholomorhoce. Syst. Bot. Upsaliens 8: 1–1.
- Adams, D.C., F.J. Rohlf & D.E. Slice (2004). Geometric morphometrics: ten years of progress following the 'revolution'. *Italian Journal of Zoology* 71: 5–16; http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11250000409356545
 Adanson, M. (1763). Familles des Plantes, Partie I., Paris.
- Ayodele, A.E. & Y. Yang (2012). Diversity and distribution of Vascular
- Plants in Nigeria. Qingdao Publishing House, China, 350pp.
- Bisby, F.A. & R.M. Polhill (1973). The Role of Taximetrics in Angiosperm Taxonomy. II. Parallel Taximetric and Orthodox Studies in *Crotalaria* L. *New Phytologist* 72(3): 727–742; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1973.tb04421.x
- Contu, S. (2012). Berlinia coriacea. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 24 August 2013.
- Cowan, R.S. & R.M. Polhill (1981). Detarieae DC, pp. 117–134. In: Polhill R.M. & P.H. Raven (eds.). *Advances in Legume Systematics* -*Part 1*. Kew, Royal Botanic Gardens
- El-Gazzar, A. & S. Rabei (2008). Taxonomic assessment of five numerical methods and its implications on the classification of *Hyptis suaveolens* Linn (Labiatae). *International Journal of Botany* 4:

85-92; http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijb.2008.85.92

- Gomez-Campo, C., J.M. Herranz-Sanz & F. Montero-Riquelme (2001). The genus *Coincya* Rouy (Cruciferae) in south-central Spain revisited: A morphometric analysis of population structure. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 135: 125–135; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2001.tb01087.x
- Henderson, A. (2006). Traditional morphometrics in plant systematics and its role in palm systematic. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 151: 103–111; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2006.00526.x
- Hepper, F.N. & F. Neate (1971). Plant collectors in West Africa. A. Oostoeks, Utrecht.
- Holmgren, P.K., N.H. Holmgren & L.C. Barnett (eds.) (1990). Index Herbariorum - Part I: The Herbaria of the World (Regnum Veg. Vol. 120). New York Botanical Garden, New York.
- Hutchinson, J. & J.M. Dalziel (1958). Flora of West Tropical Africa (Vol. 1 Part 2). Crown Agents for Oversea Governments and Administrations, Millbank, London, 469–471pp.
- **IUCN (2016).** The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015-4. [www.iucnredlist.org] Downloaded on 11 January 2016.
- Jensen, R.J. (2003). The conundrum of morphometrics. *Taxon* 52: 663–671.
- Keay, R.W.J. (1989). *Trees of Nigeria*. Oxford University Press, New York. 476pp.
- Leonard, J. (1957). Genera des Cynometreae et des Amherstieae africaines (Leguminosae- Caesalpinioideae). Essai de blastogenie appliquee a la systematique. *Memoires Academic Royale de Belggique* 30(2): 1–312.
- Leonard, J. (1965). Description de Tetraberlinia tubmaniana sp. nov. Considerations sur Tetraberlinia et les genres affins (Caesalpiniaceae africaines). Bulletin du Jardin Botanique de l'État à Bruxelles 35: 97-107.
- Mackinder, B. (2001). Further systematic studies in *Berlinia* (Leguminosae, Caesalpinioideae, Detarieae *sensu lato*). *Systematics and Geography of Plants* 71(2): 433–441; http://dx.doi. org/10.2307/3668690
- Mackinder, B. & R.T. Pennington (2011). Monograph of *Berlinia* (Leguminosae). Systematic Botany Monographs 91. The American Society of Plant Taxonomists, 117pp.

- Marcus, L.F. (1990). Traditional morphometrics, pp. 77–122. In: Rohlf, J.F & F.L. Bookstein (eds.). *Proceedings of the Michigan Morphometrics Workshop*. Special Publication no. 2. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Museum of Zoology.
- Nwachukwu, C.U. (1997). Characterization of *Maesobotrya barteri* var barteri M.Sc. Thesis, Imo State University, Nigeria.
- **Olowokudejo, J.D. (1999).** Comparative Morphology of leaf epidermis in the genus *Annona* (Annonaceae) in West Africa. *Phytomorphology* 40: 407–422.
- Roskov, Y., J. Zarucchi, M. Novoselova & F. Bisby (eds.). (2016). ILDIS World Database of Legumes (version 12, May 2014). In: Roskov Y., L. Abucay, T. Orrell, D. Nicolson, T. Kunze, C. Flann, N. Bailly, P. Kirk, T. Bourgoin, R.E. De Walt, W. Decock & A. De Wever (eds.). Species 2000 & ITIS Catalogue of Life, 23rd December 2015. Digital resource at www.catalogueoflife.org/col. Species 2000: Naturalis, Leiden, the Netherlands.
- Sneath, P.H.A. & R.R. Sokal (1963). Principles of Numerical Taxonomy. Freeman & Co., San Francisco & London, 359pp.
- Soladoye, M.O. (1982). A numerical approach to the phenetic classification of the Genus Baphia Lodd. (Leguminosae -Papilionoideae - Sophoreae). Nigerian Journal of Science 16: 59–81.
- Soladoye, M.O. & G.P. Lewis (2003). A Checklist of Nigerian Legumes. CENRAD Natural Resources Research Assessment and Conservation Series 03. Ibadan, Nigeria, West Africa, 141pp.
- Soladoye, M.O., J.O. Ariwaodo, O.A. Ugbogu & E.C. Chukwuma (2011). A Morphometric study of species of the genera *Sterculia* Linn. and *Eribroma* Pierre (Sterculiaceae) in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of* Botany 24(2): 192–210.
- Soladoye, M.O., M.A. Sonibare & E.C. Chukwuma (2010). Morphometric study of the genus *Indigofera* Linn. (Leguminosae -Papilionoideae) in south-western Nigeria. *International Journal of Botany* 6(3): 227–234; http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijb.2010.343.350
- Sonibare, M.A., A.A. Jayeola & A. Egunyomi (2004). A morphometric analysis of the genus *Ficus* Linn. (Moraceae). *African Journal* of *Biotechnology* 3(4): 229–235; http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/ AJB2004.000-2043
- Stern, K.R. (2000). Introductory Plant Biology. MacGraw-Hill Company Inc. United States of America, 630pp.







All articles published in the Journal of Threatened Taxa are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use of articles in any medium, reproduction and distribution by providing adequate credit to the authors and the source of publication.

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online); ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

February 2016 | Vol. 8 | No. 2 | Pages: 8421-8540 Date of Publication: 26 February 2016 (Online & Print) DOI: 10.11609/jott.2016.8.2.8421-8540

www.threatenedtaxa.org

Article

Rediscovery, systematics and proposed Red List status of Ledebouria junnarensis S.S. Rahangdale and S.R. Rahangdale nom. nov. (Asparagaceae) - an endemic species from the Western Ghats, Maharashtra, India

-- Savita Sanjaykumar Rahangdale & Sanjaykumar Ramlal Rahangdale, Pp. 8421-8433

Communications

Population studies of Lowe's Monkey (Mammalia: Primates: Cercopithecidae: Cercopithecus lowei Thomas, 1923) in Kakum Conservation Area, Ghana -- Edward D. Wiafe, Pp. 8434-8442

Numerical taxonomy of Berlinia species (Caesalpinioideae: Leguminosae) and their distribution in Nigeria

-- Emmanuel C. Chukwuma, Abiodun E. Ayodele, Michael O. Soladoye & Deborah M. Chukwuma, Pp. 8443-8451

Data Paper

Flora of Fergusson College campus, Pune, India: monitoring changes over half a century

-- Ashish N. Nerlekar, Sairandhri A. Lapalikar, Akshay A. Onkar, S.L. Laware & M.C. Mahajan, Pp. 8452-8487

Short Communications

Tangled skeins: a first report of non-captive mating behavior in the Southeast Asian Paradise Flying Snake (Reptilia: Squamata: Colubridae: Chrysopelea paradisi) -- Hinrich Kaiser, Johnny Lim, Heike Worth & Mark O'Shea, Pp. 8488-8494

Estimating the density of Red Junglefowl Gallus gallus (Galliformes: Phasianidae) in the tropical forest of Similipal Tiger Reserve, eastern India

-- Himanshu S. Palei, Hemanta K. Sahu & Anup K. Nayak, Pp. 8495-8498

The effect of daytime rain on the Indian Flying Fox (Mammalia: Chiroptera: Pteropodidae Pteropus giganteus) -- S. Baskaran, A. Rathinakumar, J. Maruthupandian, P. Kaliraj & G. Marimuthu, Pp. 8499-8502

An observation on the Odonata fauna of the Asansol-Durgapur Industrial Area, Burdwan, West Bengal, India -- Amar Kumar Nayak & Utpal Singha Roy, Pp. 8503-8517

Three interesting wood rotting macro-fungi from Jharkhand, India

-- Manoj Emanuel Hembrom, Arvind Parihar & Kanad Das, Pp. 8518-8525

Notes

Description of a new species of Oligosita Walker (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) from Punjab, India -- Mohsin Ikram & Mohd. Yousuf, Pp. 8526-8527

Range extension of Lestes nodalis Selys, 1891 (Odonata: Zygoptera: Lestidae) in southern India -- K.G. Emiliyamma & Muhamed Jafer Palot, Pp. 8528-8530

Report on the genus Herdonia Walker (Lepidoptera: Thyrididae) in Karnataka Western Ghats, India -- P.R. Shashank, Pp. 8531-8532

Long-horned grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) in Radhanagari Wildlife Sanctuary, Maharashtra, India -- Sunil M. Gaikwad, Yogesh J. Koli, Gopal. A. Raut, Sadashiv H. Waghmare & Ganesh P. Bhawane, 5pp Pp. 8533-8537

Intrusion of devil weed Chromolaena odorata, an exotic invasive, into Kinnerasani and Eturnagaram wildlife sanctuaries, Telangana, India

-- Sateesh Suthari, Ramesh Kandagatla, Sarede Geetha, Ajmeera Ragan & Vatsavaya S. Raju, Pp. 8538-8540



