Sightings and Behavioral Observations of Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphins *Sousa chinensis* (Osbeck, 1765) along Chennai Coast, Bay of Bengal
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Abstract: Boat-based surveys were used to investigate the presence of Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphins *Sousa chinensis* along the coast of Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. Notes were collected on behavior, group size, coloration patterns and group composition on sighting cetaceans during the surveys. Four groups of Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphins were sighted near-shore in the month of February 2011, between 10–25 m depth with an average group size of 20 individuals of which 10 individuals were photo-identifiable. Dominant group behavior was aerial display, feeding and traveling. This study gives a basic idea of presence, threats and habitat use of Humpback Dolphin areas along Chennai coast.
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Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphins *Sousa chinensis* have been recorded all along the Indian coast, from vessel-based and shore-based sightings, stranding records, incidental catch data, and museum specimens (Parsons 1998; Kumarran 2002; Parsons 2004; Sathasivam 2004; Sutaria & Jefferson 2004; Afsal et al. 2008; Anoop et al. 2008; Reeves et al. 2008; Yousuf et al. 2008; Muralidharan 2011; Kumaran 2012; Vivekanandhan & Jeyabaskaran 2012). *S. chinensis* is placed under ‘Near Threatened’ (NT) category on the IUCN Red List (Reeves et al. 2008), but very few ecological studies on cetaceans have been carried out in India. Sutaria & Jefferson (2004) studied the density and distribution of humpback dolphins along the Gujarat and Goa coasts of western India and provided records of strandings, sightings and incidental catches from India. The species has also been recorded from the coastal waters of Maharashtra (K. Jog & M. Sule pers. comm. February 2012), from Kerala (Bijukumar et al. 2012; D. Panicker pers. comm. February 2012), from Karnataka (Sutaria pers. comm. February 2012) on the western coast, and Orissa (Sutaria 2009), Andhra Pradesh (Tripathy & Choudhury 2004) and Tamil Nadu (S. Dharini pers. comm. February 2011) on the eastern coast.

Being one of the four predominant delphinids and most recorded species in incidental catches in fishing gears in India (Afsal et al. 2008), research on humpback dolphins is urgently needed (Sutaria & Jefferson 2004). In this study, we surveyed the Chennai coast for presence and behavior of *S. chinensis*, in relation to depth, distance from the shore and time of the day.

Materials and Methods

**Study area:** Chennai city is located in the state of...
Tamil Nadu, which lies on the southeastern Coromandel Coast adjoining the Bay of Bengal (Image 1) of India. Approximately 300km² area between Adyar Estuary and Muttukadu Estuary was surveyed during this study (Image 1). The mouth to the estuaries where brackish water empties into the sea is considered the preferred habitat for *S. chinensis* (Jefferson & Karczmarski 2001). The coast is surf beaten and interspersed with rocky reefs. The mean spring and neap tides are 1.21m and 0.61m, respectively.

Field Methods: Surveys were carried out in the morning between 07:00 and 11:00 hr (Table 1). The survey tracks were perpendicular to the shore and were placed between Adyar Estuary in the north and Muttukadu backwaters to the south (Images 1 & 2). All the surveys originated from and ended at Neelangarai. Surveys were carried out using 10m long FRP (Fiber-Reinforced Plastic) boat with 12hp outboard engine. The average speed of the boat was maintained at 10km/hr. Three observers, one at the bow and two on either sides of the boat-searched for dolphins with naked eyes. When dolphins were sighted, the survey boat approached the group at a slower speed. Parameters such as depth, time, beaufort scale (BS), GPS coordinates, distance from shore, group size and associated species were noted. Bathymetry profile data for 25m depth mark along Chennai coast were ascertained with GPS coordinates (Institute of Ocean Management, Anna University). We used Nikon D70 digital single-lens reflex camera 6.1 megapixel with 55–200 mm Nikkor lens and Canon Power Shot SX20 IS Digital Camera, with 12.1 Megapixels 20x Wide-Angle Zoom Lens (28–560 mm) to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sighting no./Time</th>
<th>Date of sightings</th>
<th>Time of sighting (hr)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Beaufort scale</th>
<th>No. of dolphins observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 07:45–9:45</td>
<td>15 Feb 2011</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Neelangarai</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 07:20–11:00</td>
<td>17 Feb 2011</td>
<td>09:35–09:50</td>
<td>Injambakkam</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10:00–10:10</td>
<td>Akkarai</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 08:00–10:30</td>
<td>18 Feb 2011</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Panayur</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 08:00–10:30</td>
<td>19 Feb 2011</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Neelangarai</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 07:00–12:00</td>
<td>21 Feb 2011</td>
<td>11:10–11:15</td>
<td>Injambakkam</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 07:00–11:00</td>
<td>22 Feb 2011</td>
<td>08:10–10:30</td>
<td>Neelangarai</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA - not applicable; NS - no sightings
Results and Discussion

The survey consisted of a total of 12 hours and 120km of survey effort. The sea state was calm during early morning hours of the survey and changed gradually during the end of the survey period.

Four groups of humpback dolphins and two groups of Bottlenose Dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) were sighted. All groups were sighted between 07:00am and 11:30am. Indo-pacific humpback dolphins were identified based on the coloration pattern and hump under the dorsal fin (Jefferson et al. 1993). Bottlenose dolphins were identified using body shape, triangular fin, shape and size of their snout, shape of rostrum, and relative length of the dolphin to the boat (Jefferson et al. 1993).

The observed bottlenose dolphins may be the Indo-Pacific Bottlenose Dolphin *Tursiops aduncus*, a distinct morphotype of *Tursiops truncatus*, which is an off-shore form. *T. aduncus* have been recorded before, during a near-shore research survey in the Bay of Bengal (Afsal et al. 2008) but further studies are required to ascertain the *Tursiops* species sighted in our surveys.

The estimated size of the *S.chinensis* groups ranged between 10–30 individuals with an average of 20 individuals in a group. A “group” here is defined as an aggregation of more than one dolphin, including all age classes or dolphin individuals within 20m of each other, visible from the survey boat (Karczmarski 1999; Sutaria & Jefferson 2004). *S. chinensis* are usually found in group sizes of 25 and less, but groups of less than 10 are most common (Jefferson & Karczmarski 2001). All *S.chinensis* sightings were at depths less than 25m with maximum and minimum depth recorded at 25m and 10m, respectively (Table 1). *S. chinensis* groups were sighted around 100–200 m from the shore, however, mixed groups of *S. chinensis* and *Tursiops* sp. were sighted nearly 5km from the shore. *S. chinensis* have been frequently sighted in the study area in the years 2012 and 2013, after this study was completed.

Photographs with dorsal fins were analyzed based on picture quality (Excellent, Good, Medium). Of the totally usable photographs only 14% (good and excellent pictures) were used to identify individual fins. We photo-identified 10 individuals from 70 photographs (Images 3 & 4). We used DARWIN software, Eckerd College, to produce a database for photo-identification based studies. We hope to build this database in the future to estimate population size and study movement patterns.
kind of behavioral association between the two species has also been well documented in South Africa and Australia (Jefferson & Karczmarski 2001).

On 21 February 2011, at 11:10 hr, *S. chinensis* and *Tursiops* sp. were observed traveling perpendicular to the coast into deeper waters, near Injambakkam. However, the survey boat could not follow the group due to unfavorable sea conditions. We hypothesize that *S. chinensis* might swim farther offshore till the 20m bathymetry line, or alternatively upriver due to tidal changes as these are predicted to have influence on distribution of *S. chinensis* (Parsons 1998; Sutaria & Jefferson 2004).

A group of humpback dolphins, ranging from 10–35 individuals, predominantly traveling with occasional aerial displays (Image 4), were followed from Neelangarai to Marina Beach covering a parallel shore distance of 7km and a total of 02:20 hr, on 22 February 2011. Interestingly, two calves of 1m length were noticed travelling with the adult dolphins. Calves are defined as animals two-thirds or less the length of an adult, regularly accompanying a larger animal, presumed to be the mother (Karczmarski 1999). Calves are usually more active in aerial displays and we hypothesize this large group, to be two ‘mother-calf’ groups meeting and socializing. Saayman & Tayler (1979) interpreted aerial behavior as greeting displays when different groups of humpback dolphins meet.

*S. chinensis* and near-shore *Tursiops* sp. are susceptible to coastal gillnet fisheries (Jayasankaran et al. 2009). In our study area, both species have been reported as incidental catch by fishermen (S. Dharini pers. comm. February 2011). During this study, we observed the interactions between the dolphin groups and fisheries, in cases where both were present at a sighting. Most of *S. chinensis* sightings were observed in concurrence with shore seine fishing activity along the Chennai coast but direct feeding was not observed. It is probable that *S. chinensis* follow schools of fish to the shore, which the shore seiners also target. We also noticed that *S. chinensis* groups carefully avoided shore seine nets by swimming around them. Fishermen in the study area perceive that the presence of *S. chinensis* in their fishing grounds has reduced over the years. However, the exact reasons are unknown and studies are required to ascertain the conservation status of coastal cetaceans along the Chennai coast.

**Conclusion**

Due to the limited survey effort in our study area, we cannot ascertain if humpback dolphins are resident along the Chennai coast or are local migrants from close-by estuaries, but we hypothesize the latter based on scattered reports from fishers and from our observations. The Injambakkam area seems to be an important foraging habitat that overlaps for both *S. chinensis* and *Tursiops* sp. and is important for such mixed species groups. An increase in the number of records of *S. chinensis* off Chennai, in the months of Jan–Mar and May–Aug, suggests an overlap with an increase in near-shore prey. But, fishery interaction is recognized to be a primary threat to humpback dolphin population and needs to be assessed immediately to
conserves the species (Mohan 1994; Kumarran 2002; Sutaria & Jefferson 2004; Yousuf et al. 2008; Kumarran 2012). Long term seasonal observation and further investigation will yield critical information to understand the species and their range in detail, to mitigate threats.
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