We appreciate the response to our paper (Talmale & Tiple 2013) by Shatanu Joshi (2013). We have provided details for identification along with image. The identification is based totally on Fraser (1933) with authentic identification key for Odonata from the Indian region. The detail of images are given in the text are of wet specimen (preserved in alcohol) hence the pale colouration.

We are aware about the taxonomy of the species under the genus Lestes which is not settled and the same was depicted in the text. The species Lestes concinnus is only reported from Meghalaya, India (Prasad & Varshney 1995). Its occurrence, distribution or description of specimens from peninsular India is not yet published. Reports from Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal are by Lahiri (1987) on the basis of synonymy of Lestes umbrinus under L. concinnus following Lieftinck (1960). However, umbrinus is listed as a separate species by Prasad & Varshney (1995), Mitra (2002), Subramanian (2009), and by Martin & Dennis in their World List of Odonata (last revision 21 February 2013).

The description of anal appendages of L. concinnus provided by Lahiri (1987) is different than of L. thoracicus. Figure No. 137 and 138 on page number 309 in Lahiri (1987) reveals that superiors do not show finely denticulate inner margin in L. concinnus as well as in L. umbrinus as reported by Fraser (1933). So, identified specimens are of Lestes thoracicus only. It is known from Bihar (Prasad & Varshney 1988), Chhattisgarh (Prasad 1996), Gujarat, Odisha, Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal (Sharma 2010).

Asahina (1985) provided the description of L. thoracicus of Thailand region, which does not mean a match with the Indian form. A numbers of individual variations are seen within species on regional or geographic level in lower animal groups like damselflies. As an example, Fraser (1933) reported Copera marginipes (Rambur) as a single species occurring in Ceylon (Sri Lanka), Coorg, West Coast, Deccan, Assam and Bengal. Mitra (2002) remarked intraspecific variations in L. umbrinus from Bihar and Odisha. Therefore, It is necessary to review the whole genus and species of Indian region with revised identification key. It is welcome if someone comes forward to complete this herculean task.
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Albert Orr, the Subject Editor’s reply to the response:
The editor agrees that the conclusions drawn by the correspondent are probably correct, principally based on the general appearance of the insect. Nevertheless it should be noted that some of the arguments are based on literature which is itself open to question. It is very doubtful if Asahina had seen the type and thus his interpretation must be treated with caution. Other cited literature is also of dubious value. Ultimately to confidently resolve a disputed identity such as this it is necessary to have access to the specimen and to original type material.