or conservation globally Journal of Threatened Taxa



Open Access

10.11609/jott.2023.15.9.23827-23930 www.threatenedtaxa.org

26 September 2023 (Online & Print) 15(9): 23827-23930 ISSN 0974-79t07 (Online) ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)



ISSN 0974-7907 (Online); ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

Publisher

Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society www.wild.zooreach.org Host Zoo Outreach Organization www.zooreach.org

43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5th Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India Registered Office: 3A2 Varadarajulu Nagar, FCI Road, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India Ph: +91 9385339863 | www.threatenedtaxa.org

Email: sanjay@threatenedtaxa.org

EDITORS

Founder & Chief Editor

Dr. Sanjay Molur

Wildlife Information Liaison Development (WILD) Society & Zoo Outreach Organization (ZOO), 43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5th Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India

Deputy Chief Editor

Dr. Neelesh Dahanukar Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Managing Editor

Mr. B. Ravichandran, WILD/ZOO, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India

Associate Editors

Dr. Mandar Paingankar, Government Science College Gadchiroli, Maharashtra 442605, India Dr. Ulrike Streicher, Wildlife Veterinarian, Eugene, Oregon, USA Ms. Priyanka Iyer, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India Dr. B.A. Daniel, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641006, India

Editorial Board

Dr. Russel Mittermeier

Executive Vice Chair, Conservation International, Arlington, Virginia 22202, USA

Prof. Mewa Singh Ph.D., FASc, FNA, FNASc, FNAPsy

Ramanna Fellow and Life-Long Distinguished Professor, Biopsychology Laboratory, and Institute of Excellence, University of Mysore, Mysuru, Karnataka 570006, India; Honorary Professor, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore; and Adjunct Professor, National Institute of Advanced Studies, Bangalore

Stephen D. Nash

Scientific Illustrator, Conservation International, Dept. of Anatomical Sciences, Health Sciences Center, T-8, Room 045, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8081, USA

Dr. Fred Pluthero

Toronto, Canada

Dr. Priya Davidar

Sigur Nature Trust, Chadapatti, Mavinhalla PO, Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu 643223, India

Dr. Martin Fisher

Senior Associate Professor, Battcock Centre for Experimental Astrophysics, Cavendish Laboratory, JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

Dr. John Fellowes

Honorary Assistant Professor, The Kadoorie Institute, 8/F, T.T. Tsui Building, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

Prof. Dr. Mirco Solé

Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Vice-coordenador do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zoologia, Rodovia Ilhéus/Itabuna, Km 16 (45662-000) Salobrinho. Ilhéus - Bahia - Brasil

Dr. Rajeev Raghavan

Professor of Taxonomy, Kerala University of Fisheries & Ocean Studies, Kochi, Kerala, India

English Editors

Mrs. Mira Bhojwani, Pune, India Dr. Fred Pluthero, Toronto, Canada Mr. P. Ilangovan, Chennai, India Ms. Sindhura Stothra Bhashyam, Hyderabad, India

Web Development

Mrs. Latha G. Ravikumar, ZOO/WILD, Coimbatore, India

Typesetting

Mrs. Radhika, ZOO, Coimbatore, India Mrs. Geetha, ZOO, Coimbatore India Fundraising/Communications Mrs. Payal B. Molur, Coimbatore, India

Subject Editors 2020–2022

Fungi

- Dr. B. Shivaraju, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
- Dr. R.K. Verma, Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur, India
- Dr. Vatsavaya S. Raju, Kakatiay University, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh, India Dr. M. Krishnappa, Jnana Sahyadri, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India
- Dr. K.R. Sridhar, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri, Mangalore, Karnataka, India
- Dr. Gunjan Biswas, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, West Bengal, India
- Dr. Kiran Ramchandra Ranadive, Annasaheb Magar Mahavidyalaya, Maharashtra, India

Plants

- Dr. G.P. Sinha, Botanical Survey of India, Allahabad, India
- Dr. N.P. Balakrishnan, Ret. Joint Director, BSI, Coimbatore, India
- Dr. Shonil Bhagwat, Open University and University of Oxford, UK
- Prof. D.J. Bhat, Retd. Professor, Goa University, Goa, India
- Dr. Ferdinando Boero, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- Dr. Dale R. Calder, Royal Ontaro Museum, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- Dr. Cleofas Cervancia, Univ. of Philippines Los Baños College Laguna, Philippines
- Dr. F.B. Vincent Florens, University of Mauritius, Mauritius
- Dr. Merlin Franco, Curtin University, Malaysia
- Dr. V. Irudayaraj, St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai, Tamil Nadu, India
- Dr. B.S. Kholia, Botanical Survey of India, Gangtok, Sikkim, India
- Dr. Pankaj Kumar, Department of Plant and Soil Science, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA.
- Dr. V. Sampath Kumar, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, West Bengal, India
- Dr. A.J. Solomon Raju, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India
- Dr. Vijayasankar Raman, University of Mississippi, USA Dr. B. Ravi Prasad Rao, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantpur, India
- Dr. K. Ravikumar, FRLHT, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
- Dr. Aparna Watve, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- Dr. Qiang Liu, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Yunnan, China
- Dr. Noor Azhar Mohamed Shazili, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia
- Dr. M.K. Vasudeva Rao, Shiv Ranjani Housing Society, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- Prof. A.J. Solomon Raju, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India
- Dr. Mandar Datar, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- Dr. M.K. Janarthanam, Goa University, Goa, India
- Dr. K. Karthigeyan, Botanical Survey of India, India
- Dr. Errol Vela, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France
- Dr. P. Lakshminarasimhan, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, India
- Dr. Larry R. Noblick, Montgomery Botanical Center, Miami, USA Dr. K. Haridasan, Pallavur, Palakkad District, Kerala, India
- Dr. Analinda Manila-Fajard, University of the Philippines Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines
- Dr. P.A. Sinu, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod, Kerala, India
- Dr. Afroz Alam, Banasthali Vidyapith (accredited A grade by NAAC), Rajasthan, India
- Dr. K.P. Rajesh, Zamorin's Guruvayurappan College, GA College PO, Kozhikode, Kerala, India
- Dr. David E. Boufford, Harvard University Herbaria, Cambridge, MA 02138-2020, USA
- Dr. Ritesh Kumar Choudhary, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India
- Dr. Navendu Page, Wildlife Institute of India, Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India Dr. Kannan C.S. Warrier, Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Tamil Nadu, India

Invertebrates

- Dr. R.K. Avasthi, Rohtak University, Haryana, India
- Dr. D.B. Bastawade, Maharashtra, India
- Dr. Partha Pratim Bhattacharjee, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, India
- Dr. Kailash Chandra, Zoological Survey of India, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India
- Dr. Ansie Dippenaar-Schoeman, University of Pretoria, Queenswood, South Africa Dr. Rory Dow, National Museum of natural History Naturalis, The Netherlands
- Dr. Brian Fisher, California Academy of Sciences, USA
- Dr. Richard Gallon, llandudno, North Wales, LL30 1UP
- Dr. Hemant V. Ghate, Modern College, Pune, India
- Dr. M. Monwar Hossain, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

For Focus, Scope, Aims, and Policies, visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/aims_scope For Article Submission Guidelines, visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/submissions For Policies against Scientific Misconduct, visit https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/policies_various	
	continued on the back inside cover

Cover: Stripe-necked Mongoose Urva vitticolla in poster colours, adapted from photograph by Ashni Dhawale, by Pooja Ramdas Patil.

Journal of Threatened Taxa | www.threatenedtaxa.org | 26 September 2023 | 15(9): 23827-23835

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print) https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.8491.15.9.23827-23835

#8491 | Received 26 April 2023 | Final received 07 September 2023 | Finally accepted 11 September 2023

Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar subspecies of the Grey Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* in southern India

Vinay Teja¹ , Shivakumara Manu², Honnavalli N. Kumara³ & Govindhaswamy Umapathy⁴

^{1,2,4} CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Uppal Road, Habsiguda, Hyderabad, Telangana 500007, India. ³ Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Anaikatty (POST), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641108, India. ¹ vinay@ccmb.res.in, ² smanu@ccmb.res.in, ³ honnavallik@gmail.com, ⁴ guma@ccmb.res.in (corresponding author) ^{1,2} contributed equally.

Abstract: Slender lorises are a threatened genus of small and nocturnal strepsirrhine primates confined to India and Sri Lanka. The Grey Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* is divided into several subspecies based on morphological variation and geographical distribution but not supported by molecular data. We investigated the phylogenetic divergence of two subspecies of the Grey Slender Loris in southern India: the Mysore Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* ssp. *lydekkerianus* and the Malabar Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* ssp. *malabaricus*. We generated whole genome shotgun sequence data and assembled the whole mitochondrial genomes of representative individuals from their distribution in southern India and compared them with publicly available mitogenomes of other lorises. We found that the Mysore and Malabar Slender Loris loris lydekkerianus sys of 13 protein-coding and two ribosomal RNA genes in the mitochondrial genome showed that the Mysore and Malabar Slender Loris Loris Loris 1.049 million years ago, shortly after the divergence of Red Slender Loris Loris tardigradus. Considering this relatively high sequence variation and evolutionary divergence together with their already established morphological differences and geographically distinct habitats, we propose to recognize the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises as two distinct species *Loris loris malabaricus*.

Keywords: Grey Slender Loris, Malabar Slender Loris, molecular dating, Mysore Slender Loris, phylogenetics.

Editor: K.A.I. Nekaris, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom.

Date of publication: 26 September 2023 (online & print)

OPEN ACCESS

(cc)

 $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$

Citation: Teja, V., S. Manu, H.N. Kumara & G. Umapathy (2023). Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar subspecies of the Grey Slender Loris Loris Lydekkerianus in southern India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 15(9): 23827–23835. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.8491.15.9.23827-23835

Copyright: © Teja et al. 2023. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

Funding: Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Govt. of India.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details: Vinay Teja is a PhD student at CSIR-CCMB. He is interested in Bioinformatics and Molecular evolution of traits in primates.

Shivakumara Manu is a PhD student at CSIR-CCMB. He holds a Master's degree in Bioinformatics and Applied Biotechnology and is interested in solving biological problems through genomics and computational approaches.

Dr. Honnavalli N. Kumara is a wildlife biologist working as a Principal Scientist at SACON. His interest lies in understanding population dynamics, behavioural ecology, and conservation of primates, other mammals and birds.

Dr. Govindhaswamy Umapathy is a conservation biologist working as a Senior Principal Scientist and Group Leader at the LaCONES, CSIR-CCMB. His research interests include understanding species extinction in human dominated landscapes, genome sequencing and developing biotechnological tools for biodiversity conservation.

Author contributions: G.U. and H.N.K conceived the idea for this study and collected the samples. V.T. and S.M. analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the revision of the manuscript and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

For Tamil abstract see end of this article.

Acknowledgements: V.T. was supported by a CSIR PhD fellowship, S.M. was supported by a DBT-BINC PhD fellowship, and G.U. was supported by CSIR, Govt. of India. We thank Dr. Mihir Trivedi for providing useful suggestions for the phylogenetic analysis.



INTRODUCTION

Slender lorises (genus Loris) are one of the two genera of extremely specialized nocturnal primates that inhabit India (Nekaris 2014). They belong to the family Lorisidae, which also includes Slow lorises, Pottos, and Angwantibos. Slender lorises are confined to India and Sri Lanka, where they inhabit dry to moist and lowland to montane forests (Singh et al. 2021). Slender lorises are characterized by their small size, long limbs, vestigial tail, large eyes, and slow locomotion. They are adapted for arboreal life, using their opposable thumbs and toes to grasp branches and their binocular vision to judge distances, visual acuity, precise hand-eye coordination and social communication. They feed mainly on insects but also consume fruits, flowers, gums, and other plant materials (Nekaris & Rasmussen 2003; Radhakrishna & Kumara 2010). They have a variety of vocalizations that may help them avoid predators and communicate with conspecifics (Radhakrishna & Singh 2002). Slender lorises are divided into two species: the Grey Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus found in southern India and Sri Lanka and the Red Slender Loris Loris tardigradus found only in Sri Lanka (Groves 2001). Both species show high phenotypic variation in fur color, body size, and cranial morphology, leading to the recognition of several subspecies, most of which are refuted by molecular studies (Nijman et al. 2020). The Mysore Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus (Image 1) and the Malabar Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus (Image 2), which live in the dry and wet forests of the Eastern and Western Ghats, respectively, are the two subspecies that have been recognized thus far in southern India (Kumara et al. 2013). There are several regions in their distribution where slender lorises face serious threats to their existence such as habitat loss due to deforestation and urbanization, electrocution on live wires, road accidents, pet trade, and illegal poaching for traditional medicine and black magic (Dittus et al. 2022; Gnanaolivu et al. 2022). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species classifies the Mysore Slender Loris (Kumara et al. 2022a) and Malabar Slender Loris (Kumara et al. 2022b) as 'Near Threatened' and they are listed under Schedule I of the Indian Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. Recently, Tamil Nadu became the first Indian state to notify a sanctuary for slender lorises spanning 118.06 km², which is crucial for protecting their habitat and ensuring the survival of this unique primate species (Government of Tamil Nadu 2022).

The Mysore and Malabar subspecies of the Grey Slender Loris were delineated based on their

morphological differences and geographic distribution (Groves 2001; Kumara et al. 2013). The Mysore Slender Loris is relatively larger (ca. 260 g) than Malabar Slender Loris (ca. 180 g) (Kumara et al. 2006). The Mysore Slender Loris has a grayish-brown coat and a prominent white stripe on its forehead, whereas the Malabar Slender Loris has a reddish-brown coat and a less distinct forehead stripe (Groves 2001; Kumara et al. 2006). The relative distribution of the two subspecies as well as their comparative densities and the extent of overlap between their distributions have been very well established (Kumara et al. 2013). The Mysore Slender Loris is found in the Eastern Ghats and eastern foothills of the southern Western Ghats, while the Malabar Slender Loris is confined to the western slope of the entire Western Ghats (Kumara et al. 2013). The Mysore Slender Loris prefers dry deciduous forests with moderate canopy cover and high tree density, while the Malabar Slender Loris prefers moist evergreen forests with high canopy cover and low tree density (Kumara et al. 2013). Their distributions overlap along the southern ridges of the Western Ghats, where hybridization may occur. While the diet of Mysore Slender Loris mostly consists of insects, plant material, and gum, the feeding behavior of the Malabar Slender Loris is not well studied (Radhakrishna & Kumara 2010). The reproductive biology and social system of the Mysore subspecies is influenced by factors such as seasonality, food availability, predation risk, and population density. It also has a seasonal breeding cycle that coincides with periods of high food availability (Radhakrishna & Singh 2004). No such information on the reproductive biology of the Malabar subspecies is available. Behavioral studies on lorises have always been more challenging than on relatively large, diurnal, and group-living primates such as macaques and langurs because they are nocturnal, small in size, and mostly semi-gregarious. Given the distinct habitat preferences and morphology of these two subspecies, understanding their evolutionary history and genetic differences is vital to address their conservation status and management issues.

Therefore, the main objective of this study is to investigate the phylogenetic relationship and genetic divergence between the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises in southern India. To achieve this, we sequenced and assembled the whole mitochondrial sequences from three representative samples. We aligned these sequences with the publicly available sequences of other lorises and constructed phylogenetic trees. We estimated the sequence divergence and divergence time between the two subspecies using phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar Loris in southern India



Image 1. Mysore Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus.



Image 2. Malabar Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus.

Our results support the morphological and geographical delineation of the Malabar and Mysore Slender Lorises and advocates for recognizing them as two distinct species. This study will contribute to the understanding of the biogeography and speciation processes of these threatened lorises and provide crucial insights for their conservation and management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection, DNA extraction, and Sequencing

We followed the sample collection guidelines of the animal ethics committees of the CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology and Salim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History. Necessary permissions for sample collection were obtained from the Central Zoo Authority of India, Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, Government of India, vide Ref. No. 9-2/2005-CZA(M) Vol III. Rescued lorises of known wild origin within the IUCN designated ranges (Figure 1) that were captive in Mysore and Hyderabad zoos were the sources of our samples. Blood samples were collected in EDTA vacutainers by qualified zoo veterinarians from three representative individuals of Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus (N = 2) and Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus (N = 1). We used the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit to isolate the genomic DNA from the blood samples. We measured the quality and quantity of genomic DNA using Nanodrop and Qubit 4. We constructed whole genome libraries using the Truseq PCR-free library preparation kit according to Illumina's protocols. Briefly, 1 ug of genomic DNA was sheared to

approximately 350 bp using the Covaris ultrasonicator. The fragmented DNA was then end-repaired and bluntend ligated with sequencing adapters containing unique dual indices from IDT. The library was then size-selected using SPRI beads and verified on the Agilent fragment analyzer. The cleaned-up libraries were finally quantified in qPCR using the standards and Illumina adapterspecific primers from the Roche library quantification kit. Libraries having good concentration were pooled along with other samples and sequenced on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform for 300 cycles in paired-end mode.

Mitochondrial genome assembly

We demultiplexed the base call files to separate the three samples with the dual-indexed barcodes using the BCL2FASTQ tool from Illumina. Raw reads were quality-filtered with a phred quality score threshold of 15 using FASTP v0.20 (Chen et al. 2018). We subsampled 10 million quality filtered reads to de novo assemble the circular mitochondrial genomes of all three samples using GetOrganelle v1.7.1 (Jin et al. 2020). We then annotated all the mitogenomes using MITOS2 (Bernt et al. 2013) with the Refseq 89 Metazoa reference mitochondrial database and the vertebrate mitochondrial genetic code. All the coding and non-coding genes were extracted from the mitochondrial genomes using the annotations.

Sequence and Phylogenetic analyses

We aligned the full-length COX1 and CYTB genes of lorises using Clustal Omega with the "distmat" flag and calculated the pairwise distances between the sequences (Sievers & Higgins 2021). To build the phylogeny, along

Teja et al



Figure 1. IUCN Red List distribution range of the Grey Slender Loris subspecies in southern India and Sri Lanka.

with our samples we used the NCBI RefSeg mitochondrial sequences from strepsirrhines namely, Loris lydekkerianus, Loris tardigradus, Nycticebus coucang, Nycticebus bengalensis, Galago senegalensis, and Lemur catta. We aligned the 13 protein-coding genes and two non-coding ribosomal RNA genes individually from the assembled mitochondrial genomes and reference sequences using the MUSCLE algorithm in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) and checked for the presence of any sequencing errors or frameshifts for codon position. We then concatenated all the gene alignments using MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) and identified the optimum nucleotide substitution model for each partition based on the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) values using PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2017) (Supplementary file 1). We built the maximum likelihood (ML) tree based using IQ-TREE (Minh et al. 2020) with 1000 times bootstrapping. The ML tree was visualized in Evolview v3 (Subramanian et al. 2019).

We utilized BEAST2.5 (Bouckaert et al. 2014) to create a divergence time tree using the same concatenated alignment of 13 coding and two non-coding genes from the complete mitochondrial genomes. We used the same partitioning scheme and substitution models identified by PartitionFinder2. We then chose two fossil calibration points:

1) We calibrated the crown node of Galagos with 38 mya based on the age of the fossil *Saharagalago misrensis* (PaleoDB collection 67706) (Seiffert et al. 2003). We applied a normal distribution at 40 Mya (SD = 0.04; 95% range: 36–43)

2) We calibrated the crown node of Slow Lorises with 13.82 mya based on the age of the fossil *Nycticebus linglom* (PaleoDB collection 48126) (Harrison 2010). We applied a normal distribution at 14 Mya (SD = 0.05; 95% range: 9–17)

For all the partitions, we created a relaxed lognormal clock and employed a birth-death process using prior distributions. To get to the final tree, we ran for 40 million generation runs, sampling every 2,000th generation using TreeAnnotator (Helfrich et al. 2018) with a 10% burnin. We verified that all the ESS values were over 200 in Tracer 1.7 (Rambaut et al. 2018) and visualized the tree in FigTree v1.4.4 (Rambaut 2014).

Teja et al. 🖉 🍵

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analyses support the morphological and geographical delineation of Mysore & Malabar Slender Lorises

To investigate the genetic differences between the two subspecies of slender loris in southern India, we first assembled three new circular mitochondrial genomes with an average length of 16,771 bp from two samples of the Mysore Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus and one sample of Malabar Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus. We annotated the mitochondrial genomes along with published reference sequences and obtained the full-length sequences of 13 protein-coding genes and two ribosomal RNA genes. To check the variation in the nucleotide sequence within the Loris genus, we estimated the pairwise sequence similarity in the COX1 and CYTB regions spanning 2,682 bp between all six Loris samples (Table 1). We observed the highest average sequence variation of 2.82% (S.D. 0.16) between the four sequences of the Grey Slender Loris Loris lydekkerianus and the two sequences of the Red Slender Loris Loris tardigradus as they belong to two different species within the Loris genus. While there was no sequence variation found within the two sequences of Red Slender Loris, there was considerable variation within the four sequences of Grey Slender Loris contributed by the difference between the two subspecies. We found about 2.09% (S.D 0.0) variation in the COX1 and CYTB sequences of the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises.

We then used phylogenetic analyses to understand the evolutionary relationships between the two subspecies. Along with our three samples, we included reference mitochondrial sequences from two species of slender lorises (*L. lydekkerianus*, *L. tardigradus*) and two species of slow lorises (*Nycticebus bengalensis*, *N. coucang*) along with galago and lemur as outgroups (Figure 2). The phylogenetic tree recapitulates the broad evolutionary relationships of slender lorises with slow lorises and the outgroups. It reveals an interesting pattern within the clade of slender lorises where the Mysore Slender Loris L.I. ssp. lydekkerianus clusters with the reference sequence of Grey Slender Loris to form a monophyletic clade and the Malabar Slender Loris L.I. ssp. malabaricus forms a separate monophyletic clade with very strong statistical support. We noted that the Malabar Slender Loris appears more closely related to the Red Slender Loris L. tardigradus, albeit with a very small branch length (Figure 2). To estimate the divergence time between the two subspecies and other lorises, we constructed a fossil-calibrated Bayesian tree (Figure 3). Our results suggest that the split between the Grey Slender Loris L. lydekkerianus and Red Slender Loris L. tardigradus occurred approximately 1.087 million years ago (mya). This was immediately followed by diversification of the Mysore Slender Loris L.I. ssp. lydekkerianus and Malabar Slender Loris L.I. ssp. malabaricus at around 1.049 mya (Posterior probability = 1) (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Our results from the phylogenetic analyses based on the mitochondrial sequences show that the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises have significant genetic variation (2.09%) in the COX1 and CYTB genes and form distinct monophyletic clades in the phylogenetic tree that diverged a long time ago (1.049 mya), shortly after the divergence of Red Slender Loris from the Grey Slender Loris (1.087 mya). The observed sequence variation and divergence time between the Mysore and the Malabar Slender Lorises are surprisingly high, which is not very common between primate subspecies. Since they have been evolving independently for a

Sample	Loris tardigradus-1	Loris tardigradus-2	Loris lydekkerianus malabaricus	Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus-1	Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus-2	Loris lydekkerianus-Ref
Loris tardigradus-1	-	0	2.58	2.82	2.95	2.9
Loris tardigradus-2	0	-	2.58	2.82	2.97	2.9
Loris lydekkerianus malabaricus	2.58	2.58	-	2.09	2.09	2.09
Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus-1	2.82	2.82	2.09	-	0.16	0.08
Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus-2	2.97	2.97	2.09	0.16	-	0.16
Loris lydekkerianus- Ref	2.9	2.9	2.09	0.08	0.16	-

Table 1. Sequence distance matrix of the Loris genus based on full-length cytochrome b and cytochrome oxidase 1 genes.

Teja et al





Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree based on 13 protein-coding and two ribosomal RNA genes from whole mitochondrial genomes. The bootstrap values are denoted at the nodes.



Figure 3. Fossil-calibrated Bayesian inference tree based on 13 protein-coding and two ribosomal RNA genes from whole mitochondrial genomes showing the divergence time estimates at the nodes in Mya. Bars indicate 95% CI.

long period comparable to the divergence time of their closest species (*Loris tardigradus*), the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises deserve independent recognition. Moreover, they occupy a geographically different landscape and unique habitat, where the Malabar Slender Loris occupies the wet zone of the Western Ghats, while the Mysore Slender Loris occupies the dry habitat of the eastern slope of the Western Ghats, dry forests of the Deccan plateau and Eastern Ghats (Kumara et al. 2006, 2009; 2013). They are also morphologically distinct, where the Malabar Slender Loris appears reddish in color and almost half the body size of the greyish colored Mysore Slender Loris (Kumara et al. 2006). Considering these significant differences in the morphological, geographical, and genetic factors, we propose to recognize the Mysore Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* ssp. *lydekkerianus* and Malabar Slender Loris *Loris lydekkerianus* ssp. *malabaricus* as two distinct species, Loris lydekkerianus and Loris malabaricus, respectively.

The divergence time estimates are supported by a number of molecular markers in the whole mitochondrial genome and is consistent with previous studies on the evolutionary history of this genus (Finstermeier et al. 2013). Several environmental, climatic, and geographical factors might have influenced the divergence of the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises about one million years ago. The mid-Pleistocene transition (1.25–0.7 Mya) was a time of dramatic climatic change and glaciation that influenced the environments and biogeography of Earth (Herbert 2023). The glaciation and interglaciation cycles affected the sea level, precipitation, temperature, vegetation, and habitat availability. The environmental conditions in India specifically during the Pleistocene were diverse and dynamic, ranging from deserts, tropical forests to grasslands (Morley & Morley 2022). The variability of monsoon coupled with expansion and contraction of forests due to glacial-interglacial cycles could have influenced availability of resources, fragmentation of habitats, and changes in forest cover promoting genetic differentiation and divergence of the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises.

Understanding the genetic structure and variation of species is crucial for the scientific management of threatened species and their eventual recovery. The findings of this study have important implications for the conservation and management of the slender lorises in India. With a clearer understanding of the genetic differences between the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises, it will be possible to more accurately identify and classify individual animals, which will in turn facilitate the development of an effective conservation breeding program. Such a program can be particularly beneficial for species like the slender loris that are threatened by habitat loss and fragmentation, and whose populations have been declining in recent years (Kumara et al. 2006, 2016).

The main drawback of this study is the limited sample size which we duly acknowledge. It is to be noted that the construction of whole mitochondrial genomes from WGS data for accurate molecular dating often requires good-quality DNA from animals of known geographic origin which is very difficult to obtain, especially for the Malabar Slender Loris. More samples from the Malabar Slender Loris could better resolve the phylogenetic tree and nuclear markers could also be used to confirm our findings and validate the species delimitation. Furthermore, sampling the individuals from the range edges and the overlapping ranges in the southern ridge of Western Ghats would provide more statistical power to establish the monophyly and identify any hybridization. It would also be prudent to include samples of the Mysore subspecies from Sri Lanka in future studies to fully comprehend the diversity and understand the evolutionary history of the slender lorises throughout its geographical range. Comprehensive genome sequencing of all the subspecies of slender loris would also help to understand the genomic basis of morphological differences and their adaptations to respective niches.

In conclusion, this study provides the first molecular evidence for the genetic divergence and distinctiveness of the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises. The sequence analysis, phylogenetic analyses, and molecular dating suggest that the Mysore and Malabar Slender Lorises are genetically distinct and have been evolving independently for a significant period. The high level of genetic divergence between them highlights the importance of preserving their genetic diversity and underscores the need for more efforts to conserve them in the wild. By considering the significant differences in the morphological, geographical, and genetic factors, we recommend to elevate L.I. ssp. lydekkerianus and L.I. ssp. malabaricus to the species level. We propose to recognize them as two distinct species Loris lydekkerianus and Loris malabaricus as each of them represents a unique evolutionary lineage and deserves separate recognition and protection. We advocate for further studies to validate the species delimitation with larger sample sizes and recommend for separate conservation measures and management actions to preserve their unique genetic diversity in the wild and captivity.

Data Availability Statement

The three whole mitochondrial genome sequences generated in this study have been submitted to the NCBI database under the accessions OR115511, OR115512, and OR115513.

REFERENCES

- Bernt, M., A. Donath, F. Jühling, F. Externbrink, C. Florentz, G. Fritzsch, J. Pütz, M. Middendorf & P.F. Stadler (2013). MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 69(2): 313–319. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.023
- Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C.-H. Wu, D. Xie, M.A. Suchard, A. Rambaut & A.J. Drummond (2014). BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology* 10(4): e1003537. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1003537
- Chen, S., Y. Zhou, Y. Chen & J. Gu (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34(17): i884–i890. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar Loris in southern India

Dittus, W., M. Singh, S.N. Gamage, H.N. Kumara, A. Kumar & K.A.I. Nekaris (2022). Loris lydekkerianus (amended version of 2020 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2022: e.T44722A217741551. Downloaded on 28 March 2023. https://doi. org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T44722A217741551.en

- Finstermeier, K., D. Zinner, M. Brameier, M. Meyer, E. Kreuz, M. Hofreiter & C. Roos (2013). A mitogenomic phylogeny of living primates. *PLoS ONE* 8(7): e69504. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0069504
- Gnanaolivu, S.D., M. Campera, K.A.I. Nekaris, V. Nijman, R. Satish, S. Babu & M. Singh (2022). Medicine, black magic and supernatural beings: Cultural rituals as a significant threat to slender lorises in India. *People and Nature* 4(4): 1007–1019. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pan3.10336
- Government of Tamil Nadu (2022). Notification of Kadavur Slender Loris Sanctuary in Karur and Dindigul districts of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. [G.O. Ms. No. 175, Environment, Climate Change and Forests (FR.5), 12th October 2022] No. II(2)/ ECCF/809(a)/2022.
- Groves, C.P. (2001). Primate taxonomy. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington (DC), 350 pp.
- Harrison, T. (2010). Later tertiary Lorisiformes, pp. 875–912. In: Werdelin, L. & W.J. Sanders (eds.). *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of California Press, California, 1008 pp.
- Helfrich, P., E. Rieb, G. Abrami, A. Lücking & A. Mehler (2018). TreeAnnotator: Versatile Visual Annotation of Hierarchical Text Relations. In: Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018). European Language Resources Association (ELRA), Miyazaki, Japan.
- Herbert, T.D. (2023). The mid-Pleistocene climate transition. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 51(1): 389–418. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-earth-032320-104209
- Jin, J.J., W.B. Yu, J.B. Yang, Y. Song, C.W. DePamphilis, T.S. Yi & D.Z. Li (2020). GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *Genome Biology* 21: 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02154-5
- Kumar, S., G. Stecher & K. Tamura (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33(7): 1870–1874. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Kumara, H.N., K.A.I. Nekaris & M. Singh (2022a). Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2022: e.T44719A217742793. Downloaded on 28 March 2023. https://doi. org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2022-1.RLTS.T44719A217742793.en
- Kumara, H.N., K.A.I. Nekaris & M. Singh (2022b). Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus (amended version of 2020 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2022: e.T44720A217744540. Downloaded on 28 March 2023. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2022-1.RLTS.T44720A217744540.en
- Kumara, H.N., M. Singh & S. Kumar (2006). Distribution, habitat correlates, and conservation of Loris lydekkerianus in Karnataka, India. International Journal of Primatology 27: 941–969. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10764-006-9054-z
- Kumara, H.N., M. Irfan-Ullah & S. Kumar (2009). Mapping potential distribution of slender loris subspecies in peninsular India. *Endangered Species Research* 7: 29–38. https://doi.org/10.3354/ esr00185
- Kumara, H.N., R. Sasi, S. Chandran & S. Radhakrishna (2016). Distribution of the Grey Slender Loris (*Loris lyddekerianus* Cabrera, 1908) in Tamil Nadu, southern India. *Folia Primatologica* 87(5): 291– 302. https://doi.org/10.1159/000452405

- Kumara, H.N., M. Singh, M. Irfan-Ullah & S. Kumar (2013). Status, Distribution, and Conservation of Slender Lorises in India pp. 343– 352. In: Masters, J., M. Gamba & F. Génin (eds.). *Leaping Ahead:* Advances in Prosimian Biology. Springer, New York, 410 pp.
- Lanfear, R., P.B. Frandsen, A.M. Wright, T. Senfeld & B. Calcott (2017). PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34(3): 772–773. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- Minh, B.Q., H.A. Schmidt, O. Chernomor, D. Schrempf, M.D. Woodhams, A. von Haeseler & R. Lanfear (2020). IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37(5): 1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015
- Morley, R.J. & H.P. Morley (2022). The prelude to the Holocene: tropical Asia during the Pleistocene, pp. 1–32. In: Kumaran, N. & P. Damodara (eds.). *Holocene Climate Change and Environment*. Elsevier, 661 pp.
- Nekaris, K.A.I. (2014). Extreme primates: ecology and evolution of Asian lorises. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 23(5): 177–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.21425
- Nekaris, K.A.I. & D.T. Rasmussen (2003). Diet and feeding behavior of Mysore Slender Lorises. International Journal of Primatology 24(1): 33–46. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021442411455
- Nijman, V., T. Robbins, A.J. Maddock & A. Ang (2020). Molecular phylogeny, taxonomy and conservation of slender Lorises. *Primate Conservation* 34: 143–151.
- Radhakrishna, S. & H.N. Kumara (2010). Behavioural variation in the Mysore slender loris Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus. Current Science 99(9): 1226–1232.
- Radhakrishna, S. & M. Singh (2002). Social behaviour of the slender loris (*Loris tardigradus lydekkerianus*). Folia Primatologica 73(4): 181–196. https://doi.org/10.1159/000065426
- Radhakrishna, S. & M. Singh (2004). Reproductive biology of the slender loris (*Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus*). Folia Primatologica 75(1): 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1159/000073424
- Rambaut, A. (2014). FigTree, a graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees. https://github.com/rambaut/figtree Accessed on 15 March 2023.
- Rambaut, A., A.J. Drummond, D. Xie, G. Baele & M.A. Suchard (2018). Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology 67(5): 901–904. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syy032
- Seiffert, E.R., E.L. Simons & Y. Attia (2003). Fossil evidence for an ancient divergence of lorises and galagos. *Nature* 422(6930): 421– 424. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01489
- Sievers, F. & D.G. Higgins (2021). The Clustal Omega Multiple Alignment Package, pp. 3–16. In: Katoh, K. (ed.). Multiple Sequence Alignment: Methods and Protocols. Springer US, New York, 287 pp.
- Singh, M., M. Singh, H.N. Kumara, S. Kumar, S.D. Gnanaoliu & R. Sasi (2021). A review of research on the distribution, ecology, behaviour, and conservation of the Slender Loris Loris Lydekkerianus (Mammalia: Primates: Lorisidae) in India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 13(11): 19540–19552. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.7562.13.11.19540-19552
- Subramanian, B., S. Gao, M.J. Lercher, S. Hu & W.H. Chen (2019). Evolview v3: a webserver for visualization, annotation, and management of phylogenetic trees. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47(W1): W270–W275. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz357

Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar Loris in southern India

Supplementary file 1. Partitioning scheme and nucleotide substitution model selection output from PartitionFinder2.

Best partitioning scheme

Scheme InL :-64454.10696411133 Scheme AICc : 129409.318896 Number of params : 246 Number of sites : 13594 Number of subsets : 33

Subset | Best Model | # sites | Partition names

1	GTR+I+X 622 NAD1_pos1, NAD4I_pos3, ATP6_pos1
2	TRN+I+X 533 NAD1_pos2, ATP6_pos2
3	HKY+X 223 ATP6_pos3
4	HKY+X 132 ATP8_pos1, ATP8_pos2
5	GTR+X 317 ATP8_pos3, COX3_pos3
6	HKY+G+X 368 COB_pos1
7	GTR+I+X 368 COB_pos2
8	TRN+I+X 368 COB_pos3
9	GTR+G+X 496 COX1_pos1
10	HKY+G+X 496 COX1_pos2
11	HKY+G+X 496 COX1_pos3
12	K80 222 COX2_pos1
13	TRN+X 222 COX2_pos2
14	TRN+I+X 424 NAD3_pos1, NAD4I_pos2, COX2_pos3
15	JC 251 COX3_pos1
16	HKY+X 251 COX3_pos2
17	HKY+X 310 NAD1_pos3
18	GTR+I+X 340 NAD2_pos1
19	HKY+I+X 340 NAD2_pos2
20	HKY+I+X 339 NAD2_pos3
21	TRN+I+X 111 NAD3_pos2
22	GTR+I+X 201 NAD4I_pos1, NAD3_pos3
23	HKY+X 457 NAD4_pos1
24	HKY+X 456 NAD4_pos2
25	HKY+I+X 456 NAD4_pos3
26	HKY+I+X 596 NAD5_pos1
27	GTR+I+X 596 NAD5_pos2
28	HKY+I+X 596 NAD5_pos3
29	GTR+G+X 181 NAD6_pos1
30	HKY+I+X 180 NAD6_pos2
31	GTR+G+X 180 NAD6_pos3
32	GTR+G+X 1454 rrns
33	GTR+I+X 1012 rrnl

Tamil: மெலிந்த தேவாங்குகள், இந்தியா மற்றும் இலங்கையில் மட்டும் வாழும் இரவில் நடமாடுகிற சிறிய வகை ஈரமூக்கு கொண்ட முதனிகளில் ஒன்றான பேரினமாகும். இந்தப் பேரினம் தற்பொழுது அழிவுநிலைக்கு அச்சுறுத்தப்பட்டுள்ளது.. சாம்பல் நிற மெலிந்த தேவாங்கு (Loris lydekkerianus), உருவவியல் மாறுபாடு மற்றும் புவியியல் பரவல் ஆகியவற்றின் அடிப்படையில் பல துணை இனங்களாகப் பிரிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள போதும், இந்தப் பிரிவினை மூலக்கூறு சான்றுகளால் ஆதரிக்கப்படவில்லை. தென்னிந்தியாவில் வாழும் இந்த சாம்பல் நிற மெலிந்த தேவாங்குகளின் இரண்டு துணை இனங்கள்: அதாவது மைதர் மெலிந்த தேவாங்கு (Loris lydekkerianus ssp. lydekkerianus) மற்றும் மலபார் மெலிந்த தேவாங்கு (Loris lydekkerianus ssp. malabaricus), பரிணாம வரலாற்றில் எப்பொழுது பிரிந்தன என்று ஆராய்ந்தோம். தென்னிந்தியாவில் வாழும் அவற்றின் புவியியல் தொகைகளில் இருந்து மாதிரிகள் எடுத்து, அவ்விலங்குகளின் முழு இழைமணி மரபணு தகவல்களை shotgun sequence எனப்படும் தகவல்களில் இருந்து ஒருங்கிணைத்து, பொது தரவுத்தளங்களில் கிடைக்கும் பிற தேவாங்குகளின் மரபணு தகவல்களுடன் ஒப்பிட்டோம். இதில் இருந்து மைதர் மற்றும் மலபார் மெலிந்த தேவாங்குகளை ஒப்பிடுகையில், அவற்றின் இடையே cox1 மற்றும் cyrB மரபணு பகுதிகள் 2.09 சதவிகிதம் வேறுபடுவதை நாங்கள் கண்டறிந்தோம். மேலும், இழைமணி மரபணுவில் காணப்படும் 13 புரதங்கள் மற்றும் 2 ரைபோசோமல் RNAக்களைக் குறியிடக்கூடிய மரபணு தகவல்களை பகுப்பாய்வு செய்கையில், மைதர் மற்றும் மலபார் மெலிந்த தேவாங்குகள், பரிணாம வரலாற்றில் சுமார் 10. 49 இலட்சம் ஆண்டுகளுக்கு முன்பு பிரிந்தன என விளங்கியது. இது சிவப்பு மெலிந்த தேவாங்கு (Loris tardigradus) பிரிந்த சற்று காலத்திற்குப் பின் நிகழ்ந்த நிகழ்வாகும். மரபணு தகவல்களில் உள்ள மாற்றங்கள் மற்றும் பரிணாம வேறுபாடுகளை கருத்தில் கொண்டு , அவற்றுடன் ஏற்கனவே நிறுவப்பட்ட உருவ வேறுபாடுகள் மற்றும் புவியியல் ரீதியாக தனித்துவமான வாழ்விடங்களை சேர்க்கையில், மைசூர் மற்றும் மலபார் மெலிந்த தேவாங்குகளளை Loris lydekkerianus மற்றும் Loris malabaricus என இரண்டு தனித்துவமான இனங்களாக அங்கீகரிக்க நாங்கள் முன்மொழிகிறோம்.



- Mr. Jatishwor Singh Irungbam, Biology Centre CAS, Branišovská, Czech Republic.
- Dr. Ian J. Kitching, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, Uk
- Dr. George Mathew, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, India Dr. John Noyes, Natural History Museum, London, UK
- Dr. Albert G. Orr, Griffith University, Nathan, Australia
- Dr. Sameer Padhye, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Dr. Nancy van der Poorten, Toronto, Canada
- Dr. Kareen Schnabel, NIWA, Wellington, New Zealand
- Dr. R.M. Sharma, (Retd.) Scientist, Zoological Survey of India, Pune, India
- Dr. Manju Siliwal, WILD, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
- Dr. G.P. Sinha, Botanical Survey of India, Allahabad, India
- Dr. K.A. Subramanian, Zoological Survey of India, New Alipore, Kolkata, India Dr. P.M. Sureshan, Zoological Survey of India, Kozhikode, Kerala, India
- Dr. R. Varatharajan, Manipur University, Imphal, Manipur, India
- Dr. Eduard Vives, Museu de Ciències Naturals de Barcelona, Terrassa, Spain
- Dr. James Young, Hong Kong Lepidopterists' Society, Hong Kong
- Dr. R. Sundararaj, Institute of Wood Science & Technology, Bengaluru, India
- Dr. M. Nithyanandan, Environmental Department, La Ala Al Kuwait Real Estate. Co. K.S.C.,
- Kuwait Dr. Himender Bharti, Punjabi University, Punjab, India
- Mr. Purnendu Roy, London, UK
- Dr. Saito Motoki, The Butterfly Society of Japan, Tokyo, Japan
- Dr. Sanjay Sondhi, TITLI TRUST, Kalpavriksh, Dehradun, India
- Dr. Nguyen Thi Phuong Lien, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam
- Dr. Nitin Kulkarni, Tropical Research Institute, Jabalpur, India
- Dr. Robin Wen Jiang Ngiam, National Parks Board, Singapore
- Dr. Lional Monod, Natural History Museum of Geneva, Genève, Switzerland.
- Dr. Asheesh Shivam, Nehru Gram Bharti University, Allahabad, India
- Dr. Rosana Moreira da Rocha, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brasil Dr. Kurt R. Arnold, North Dakota State University, Saxony, Germany
- Dr. James M. Carpenter, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA
- Dr. David M. Claborn, Missouri State University, Springfield, USA
- Dr. Kareen Schnabel, Marine Biologist, Wellington, New Zealand
- Dr. Amazonas Chagas Júnior, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Brasil
- Mr. Monsoon Jyoti Gogoi, Assam University, Silchar, Assam, India
- Dr. Heo Chong Chin, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Selangor, Malaysia
- Dr. R.J. Shiel, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
- Dr. Siddharth Kulkarni, The George Washington University, Washington, USA
- Dr. Priyadarsanan Dharma Rajan, ATREE, Bengaluru, India
- Dr. Phil Alderslade, CSIRO Marine And Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Australia
- Dr. John E.N. Veron, Coral Reef Research, Townsville, Australia
- Dr. Daniel Whitmore, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Rosenstein, Germany. Dr. Yu-Feng Hsu, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei City, Taiwan
- Dr. Keith V. Wolfe, Antioch, California, USA Dr. Siddharth Kulkarni, The Hormiga Lab, The George Washington University, Washington, D.C., USA
- Dr. Tomas Ditrich, Faculty of Education, University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic
- Dr. Mihaly Foldvari, Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway
- Dr. V.P. Uniyal, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248001, India
- Dr. John T.D. Caleb, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, West Bengal, India
- Dr. Priyadarsanan Dharma Rajan, Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment (ATREE), Royal Enclave, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Fishes

- Dr. Neelesh Dahanukar, IISER, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- Dr. Topiltzin Contreras MacBeath, Universidad Autónoma del estado de Morelos, México
- Dr. Heok Hee Ng, National University of Singapore, Science Drive, Singapore
- Dr. Rajeev Raghavan, St. Albert's College, Kochi, Kerala, India
- Dr. Robert D. Sluka, Chiltern Gateway Project, A Rocha UK, Southall, Middlesex, UK
- Dr. E. Vivekanandan, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Chennai, India
- Dr. Davor Zanella, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
- Dr. A. Biju Kumar, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India Dr. Akhilesh K.V., ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Mumbai Research
- Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India Dr. J.A. Johnson, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India
- Dr. R. Ravinesh, Gujarat Institute of Desert Ecology, Gujarat, India
- Amphibians
- Dr. Sushil K. Dutta, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India Dr. Annemarie Ohler, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France
- Reptiles
- Dr. Gernot Vogel, Heidelberg, Germany
- Dr. Raju Vyas, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
- Dr. Pritpal S. Soorae, Environment Agency, Abu Dubai, UAE.
- Prof. Dr. Wayne J. Fuller, Near East University, Mersin, Turkey
- Prof. Chandrashekher U. Rivonker, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa. India Dr. S.R. Ganesh, Chennai Snake Park, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
- Dr. Himansu Sekhar Das, Terrestrial & Marine Biodiversity, Abu Dhabi, UAE

Journal of Threatened Taxa is indexed/abstracted in Bibliography of Systematic Mycology, Biological Abstracts, BIOSIS Previews, CAB Abstracts, EBSCO, Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, Index Fungorum, JournalSeek, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, NewJour, OCLC WorldCat, SCOPUS, Stanford University Libraries, Virtual Library of Biology, Zoological Records.

NAAS rating (India) 5.64

- Birds
- Dr. Hem Sagar Baral, Charles Sturt University, NSW Australia Mr. H. Byju, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India Dr. Chris Bowden, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Sandy, UK
- Dr. Priya Davidar, Pondicherry University, Kalapet, Puducherry, India Dr. J.W. Duckworth, IUCN SSC, Bath, UK
- Dr. Rajah Jayapal, SACON, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
- Dr. Rajiv S. Kalsi, M.L.N. College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana, India
- Dr. V. Santharam, Rishi Valley Education Centre, Chittoor Dt., Andhra Pradesh, India
- Dr. S. Balachandran, Bombay Natural History Society, Mumbai, India
- Mr. J. Praveen, Bengaluru, India
- Dr. C. Srinivasulu, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India
- Dr. K.S. Gopi Sundar, International Crane Foundation, Baraboo, USA
- Dr. Gombobaatar Sundev, Professor of Ornithology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
- Prof. Reuven Yosef, International Birding & Research Centre, Eilat, Israel
- Dr. Taej Mundkur, Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Dr. Carol Inskipp, Bishop Auckland Co., Durham, UK
- Dr. Tim Inskipp, Bishop Auckland Co., Durham, UK Dr. V. Gokula, National College, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India
- Dr. Arkady Lelej, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia
- Dr. Simon Dowell, Science Director, Chester Zoo, UK
- Dr. Mário Gabriel Santiago dos Santos, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Quinta de Prados, Vila Real, Portugal
- Dr. Grant Connette, Smithsonian Institution, Royal, VA, USA
- Dr. P.A. Azeez, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Mammals

- Dr. Giovanni Amori, CNR Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Rome, Italy
- Dr. Anwaruddin Chowdhury, Guwahati, India
- Dr. David Mallon, Zoological Society of London, UK
- Dr. Shomita Mukherjee, SACON, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Nishith Dharaiya, HNG University, Patan, Gujarat, India

Dr. Dan Challender, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

- Dr. Angie Appel, Wild Cat Network, Germany
- Dr. P.O. Nameer, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India
- Dr. Ian Redmond, UNEP Convention on Migratory Species, Lansdown, UK
- Dr. Heidi S. Riddle, Riddle's Elephant and Wildlife Sanctuary, Arkansas, USA

Dr. Honnavalli N. Kumara, SACON, Anaikatty P.O., Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Justus Joshua, Green Future Foundation, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Jim Sanderson, Small Wild Cat Conservation Foundation, Hartford, USA

Dr. David Mallon, Manchester Metropolitan University, Derbyshire, UK

Dr. Brian L. Cypher, California State University-Stanislaus, Bakersfield, CA

Dr. Hemanta Kafley, Wildlife Sciences, Tarleton State University, Texas, USA

Dr. Mandar S. Paingankar, University of Pune, Pune, Maharashtra, India (Molecular)

Dr. Jack Tordoff, Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund, Arlington, USA (Communities)

Dr. Rayanna Hellem Santos Bezerra, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, Brazil Dr. Jamie R. Wood, Landcare Research, Canterbury, New Zealand Dr. Wendy Collinson-Jonker, Endangered Wildlife Trust, Gauteng, South Africa

Dr. L.D. Singla, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, India

Dr. S.S. Talmale, Zoological Survey of India, Pune, Maharashtra, India Prof. Karan Bahadur Shah, Budhanilakantha Municipality, Kathmandu, Nepal Dr. Susan Cheyne, Borneo Nature Foundation International, Palangkaraja, Indonesia

Dr. Aniruddha Belsare, Columbia MO 65203, USA (Veterinary)

Dr. Ulrike Streicher, University of Oregon, Eugene, USA (Veterinary)

Dr. Hari Balasubramanian, EcoAdvisors, Nova Scotia, Canada (Communities)

Dr. Rajeshkumar G. Jani, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India Dr. O.N. Tiwari, Senior Scientist, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New

Dr. Rupika S. Rajakaruna, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka Dr. Bahar Baviskar, Wild-CER, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440013, India

Due to pausity of space, the list of reviewers for 2018-2020 is available online.

The opinions expressed by the authors do not reflect the views of the

boundaries shown in the maps by the authors.

Print copies of the Journal are available at cost. Write to:

c/o Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society,

43/2 Varadarajulu Nagar, 5th Street West, Ganapathy, Coimbatore,

Journal of Threatened Taxa, Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society, Zoo Outreach Organization, or any of the partners. The journal, the publisher, the host, and the partners are not responsible for the accuracy of the political

Dr. H. Raghuram, The American College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Spartaco Gippoliti, Socio Onorario Società Italiana per la Storia della Fauna "Giuseppe

- Dr. Karin Schwartz, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia.
- Dr. Lala A.K. Singh, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India
- Dr. Mewa Singh, Mysore University, Mysore, India Dr. Paul Racey, University of Exeter, Devon, UK

Dr. Paul Bates, Harison Institute, Kent, UK

Altobello", Rome, Italy

Other Disciplines

Delhi, India

Reviewers 2020-2022

The Managing Editor, JoTT,

Tamil Nadu 641006, India ravi@threatenedtaxa.org



www.threatenedtaxa.org

The Journal of Threatened Taxa (JoTT) is dedicated to building evidence for conservation globally by publishing peer-reviewed articles online every month at a reasonably rapid rate at www.threatenedtaxa.org. All articles published in JoTT are registered under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of articles in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

September 2023 | Vol. 15 | No. 9 | Pages: 23827–23930 Date of Publication: 26 September 2023 (Online & Print) DOI: 10.11609/jott.2023.15.9.23837-23930

Article

Phylogenetic insights on the delineation of Mysore and Malabar subspecies of the Grey Slender Loris Loris Lydekkerianus in southern India – Vinay Teja, Shivakumara Manu, Honnavalli N. Kumara & Govindhaswamy Umapathy, Pp. 23827–23835

Communications

New localities and sexual dichromatism in Blue-green eyed Spotted Cuscus Spilocuscus wilsoni Helgen et Flannery, 2004 (Mammalia: Marsupialia: Phalangeridae) from Biak Island, Indonesia

- Aksamina Maria Yohanita, Kanthi Arum Widayati, Tri Atmowidi, Hiroo Imai & Bambang Suryobroto, Pp. 23836-23842

Nest construction and repairing habits of Baya Weaver Ploceus philippinus (Aves: Passeriformes: Ploceidae) in the agricultural landscape of Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu. India

– M. Pandian, Pp. 23843–23856

A checklist of the avifauna of Samanatham tank, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India – H. Byju, N. Raveendran, S. Ravichandran & Reshmi Vijayan, Pp. 23857–23869

Composition of avian communities in Ranjit Sagar Conservation Reserve, Punjab, India – Onkar Singh Brraich, Sunil Kumar Saini & Jagdeep Singh, Pp. 23870–23878

Faunistic overview of the freshwater zooplankton from the urban riverine habitats of Pune, India – Avinash Isaac Vanjare, Yugandhar Satish Shinde & Sameer Mukund Padhye, Pp. 23879–23888

Utilization of a new restoration technique for the rehabilitation of a degraded mangrove ecosystem: a case study from Koggala Lagoon, Sri Lanka – Mahanama Gamage Greshan Dhanushka, Maduwe Guruge Manoj Prasanna, Kariyawasam Marthinna Gamage Gehan Jayasuriya & Indupa Hasindi Vitanage, Pp. 23889–23897

Diversity of powdery mildew fungi from protected areas of Jizzak region, Uzbekistan - a checklist I.M. Mustafaev, I.Z. Ortiqov, K.K. Nuraliev & D.S. Khujaqulova, Pp. 23898–23910

Notes

A case report on chronic renal disease in a captive wild Leopard Panthera pardus (Mammalia: Carnivora) – Abhishek Verma, Rakesh Kumar, Smriti Jamwal, Ankita, Rajendra Damu Patil & Rajesh Kumar Asrani, Pp. 23911–23913

The first photographic evidence of Ruddy Mongoose Herpestes smithii Gray, 1837 (Mammalia: Carnivora: Herpestidae) in Katerniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary, Uttar Pradesh, India

- Javed Anver, Vipul Maurya & Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Pp. 23914-23916

New locality record of the Asiatic Long-tailed Climbing Mouse Vandeleuria oleracea (Bennett, 1832) (Mammalia: Rodentia: Muridae) from Kohora River Basin, Assam, India

– Sourav Gupta, Ramie H. Begum, Jayanta Kumar Roy, M. Firoz Ahmed & Shyamkant S. Talmale, Pp. 23917–23919

New distribution record of fish *Clupisoma garua* (Hamilton, 1822) (Siluriformes: Ailiidae) from the Sarpang District in southern central part of Bhutan – Sangay Dorji & Jigme Tenzin, Pp. 23920–23924

Kukumseri: a home to Colchicum luteum Baker (Colchicaceae), a rare and endangered medicinal herb – Rajender Kumar Sharma, Pp. 23925–23927

First record of the Western Himalayan Yew Taxus contorta (Gymnosperms: Cupressales: Taxaceae) from Lumbini Province, Nepal – Santa Bahadur Thing, Deepak Raj Prakash Janga Shahi & Shashi Shrestha, Pp. 23928–23930



